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## OVERVIEW AND KEY INFORMATION OF

## SITUATION ASSESSMENT SURVEY OF

## STUDENTS' HOUSEHOLD

## All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health

Many countries around the world have implemented lockdowns, stay-at-home, and physical distancing measures to contain the spread of COVID-19. Our country is no exception. Under this unprecedented situation, the home, however, may not always be a very relaxing place for young students who are left without the normal routine and in addition, confined inside home for 24 hours. This survey was conceptualised to see the situation of students during lock down period. However, the assessment of situation of a student cannot be considered complete if we are not aware of the condition of the household they belong. In every corner, all have prospect for a better future. But, during lockdown a condition of lacking hope and dignity prevailed among some, as we understood. Another issue of this
survey was to judge whether digital classes made another layer of inequality among students of different echelon of the society. Till date gender, social stratum, parents' wealth still determines a student's place in society. Information was pouring in about non-availability of medical attendance for several chronic diseases. Above all, there was a gloomy, frightened scenario prevailed all over the country.

In this backdrop this online survey was conducted among students currently attending at any of the five colleges (Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya, Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, Maulana Azad College, St. Paul's CM College and Women's Christian College). A total of 2088 complete information was gathered during $15^{\text {th }}$ to $31^{\text {st }}$ May, 2020. Respondent students are mostly from urban and sub-urban areas of

Howrah, Hooghly, 24 Parganas (N) and Kolkata, over one-third from regular Wage/Salaried, 45\% from Self-Employed and 12\% Labourer households. They are mainly from Hindu, General (over 70\%) and largely (two-thirds) from 'lowermiddle class' (family income per month ₹ 15000 or less). It is found that:

Average Household Size: 4.72
Average Per Person Room: 0.65
Median Household monthly Income: ₹ 10655.

Source of Drinking Water: 73\% inside premises

43\% from piped water
30\% from tube-well/bore-well
Households facing some problem in Employment: 64.4\%,

SC Group: 72\%
Poorest segment: 81\%
(per month household income ₹ 7500 or less)

Non-agricultural Labourer: 87\%
Within Self Employed:
No business/service activity could be done: 42\%

Business/service activity carried out in a very small scale : 18\%

Within Wage/Salary earner:
Lost employment : 10\%
Employment remains but wage/salary not received: 13\%

Employment remains but less wage/salary received: 17\%

Households who lost Employment: 90 \% of them are from lower middle class

Financial Crisis took Place: 26\%

Poorest segment: 42\%
Food for 3 times are not available within financial crisis: 19\%

Poorest segment: 22\%
Collection of food from Govt Ration: 60\%

Poorest segment : 81\%
Richest Segment : 15\%

Receipt of food from other: 9\%
Poorest segment : 16\%

Purchase from market: 57\%

Poorest segment : 39\%
Richest Segment : 88\%

Arranged online classes by colleges: 95\%

Participation by students if online class is arranged: 95\%

99\% students, who attended online classes, Smartphone was a device.

94\% students, Smartphone was the only device they used to follow the online classes.

48\% students are satisfied about online classes.

B A Students: 52\%
B Sc Students: 41\%
B Com Students: 22\%
Problem in Online Classes:
Weak Internet Connection 78\%
No Money to Buy Data Card 14\%
Lack of Self-Motivation 9\%
Difficulty in Operating 8\%
Lack of Digital Literacy 6\%
Problem in Time-management 5\%

Worrying about future regarding completion of course (5 point Likert
Scale): Not worried: 11\%
Worried: 74\%
Very Worried level is highest for poorest segment $60 \%$ while for richest level it is $36 \%$
$10 \%$ individuals in 31\% households are having chronic disease(s).

9\% households had some ailing person other than chronic disease, out of them 2\% needed hospitalisation.
$80 \%$ of those ailing persons got medical consultation, for rest 20\% medical attention could not be given for some problem or other. Among hospitalisation cases, 40\% faced tremendous problem.

15\% households had problem in procuring medicines when required. For households with chronic diseases, 20\% faced problem.

33\% students had installed "Arogya Setu" app.

84\% among all students were very agitated/frustrated/frightened during lockdown.

90\% are highly stressful in poorest segment.

Being in stress is inversely proportional to the number of times moving out of home.
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## Appendix B

## Introduction:

### 1.1 Background:

1.1.1.1 COVID-19 pandemic (CO-Corona, VI-Virus \& D-Disease) of 2020 can be considered as the worst misery for universal human existence perhaps since the World War II. Moreover, from some perspectives, it is worse than the WWII as the loss of life and affected areas are definitely larger. The fact that the reasons of the pandemic, its spread and the prevention are still beyond human control to a great extent - increase additional stress to human society.
1.1.1.2 "Lockdown" is a new entry in common vocabulary since March this year, as different countries have adopted it as a strategy to control the rising curve of the disease. India is no exception. The Government of India on March 22, 2020 ordered a voluntary curfew followed by a number of combat regulations in different phases. The first in this series was declared on March 24, 2020 whereby the Central Government has ordered a nationwide "lockdown" for 21 days, completely limiting the movement of above 1.3 billion population of the land, as a preventive measure and stopping all kinds of activities barring a few essential ones.
1.1.1.3 From June 1, 2020 onwards we have entered the fifth phase of the "lockdown" with major unlocking measures to keep the livelihood of the people and the nation moving. As a result, now we have:

1. 'Lockdown' - Phase I: March 25 to April 14, 2020 (21 days)
2. 'Lockdown' - Phase II: April 15 to May 3, 2020(19 days)
3. 'Lockdown' - Phase III: May 4 to May 17, 2020 (14days)
4. 'Lockdown' - Phase IV: May 18 to May 31, 2020 (14 days)
5. 'Lockdown' - Phase V: Unlock 1 - June 1 to June 30, 2020 (30 days)

### 1.1.1.4 Major strategies adopted for the "lockdown" period includes:

i. Restriction of people from moving out of their homes and banning of any kind of public gathering.
ii. Closure of all shops and other establishments barring hospitals, banks, medicine shops, grocery items and other essential services.
iii. Work from Home (WFH) has been adopted as the major method of work for commercial and private establishments as well as for educational and research institutes.
iv. Movements of public and private transport are restricted except for the movement of essential and emergency services.
v. All the places of worship were closed.
vi. All kinds of social, political, sports, entertainment, academic, cultural and religious activities are prohibited.

### 1.1.1.5 The spread of the disease and the intensity of "lockdown" as the

 major preventive measure adopted by the state naturally have extreme impact on the life and livelihood of the population of every socioeconomic category. At the same time being an exceedingly diversified country, all the sections of India are not equally affected.1.1.1.6 COVID-19, the disease and "lockdown", the preventive measure, can be termed as major watershed of our times as never before in human history, human lives and activities have been halted to such a huge level as combat measure against any disease. Surely, the state and condition of its population before and post March 2020 are not same. Almost all the families and individuals have been affected either physically and mentally, or from economic and social points of view. Not a single individual is spared either from its direct or indirect impact almost.
1.1.1.7 This may be intimated in this connection that Ms. Sarbani Guha Ghosal, Associate Professor, Department of Political Science, Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, did a minuscule survey of the students of her department on some social and economic issues. She then discussed this aforesaid context with the Statistics Department of the All India Institute of Hygiene \& Public Health, headed by Dr. Bandana Sen (Dasgupta), and the whole project was thereafter planned. In this joint study the Political Science Department of the Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, Howrah headed by Ms. Sarbani Guha Ghosal was the active member along with the DOS members.
1.1.1.8 This survey is undertaken to assess the conditions of the college level students. Phase IV of "lockdown" has been selected as the timeframe as it was felt that it is absolutely a right time to evaluate the impact of the "lockdown" on human lives in real sense as, by the time, we have been accustomed to our eight weeks locked-down life format.

### 1.1.1.9 The college students have been selected as the subject group as

 this is quite a vulnerable age group who are about to enter into the larger competitive world of profession and livelihood. "Lockdown" has definitely postponed, if not completely halted for some, their future dreams, career plan, works and responsibilities. In this survey, involving five major colleges of urban and semi-urban areas, attempt has been made to assess the economic, social, physical and mental condition of the college level students.
### 1.1.1.10 The participant colleges are-

1. Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya, North 24 Parganas
2. Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, Howrah
3. Maulana Azad College, Kolkata
4. St. Paul's C M College, Kolkata
5. Women's Christian College, Kolkata
1.1.1.11 This small effort can be considered as a part of solidarity within the community to overcome the difficult times together. Apart from the breakneck works of the researchers, scientists and medical professionals, we, from the domain of social science, also have a lot to contribute in overcoming these extraordinary circumstances. We sincerely believe we have the moral responsibility to look after the holistic condition of our students. It is no doubt a part to reveal the best of our humanity and our collective vision. At the same time, we believe that this survey will encourage availability and access to our knowledge about our students, without which teaching is going to be heartless. Moreover, this can provide, whatever small it may be, a guideline to our future education policy makers.
1.1.1.12 It was a joint academic endeavour. As a part of collaboration the Department of Statistics (DOS), All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health (AIIH\&PH) prepared the questionnaire, processed the data, and analysed and
finally share the tabular and graphical representation of those data with all collaborators for their own use. The collaborators (all five colleges), on the other hand, instructed their students to fill up and submit the questionnaire online through their email.

### 1.2 Objective of the Survey:

1.2.1.1 A real time situation assessment of the students was attempted through this survey during this period of "Lock down", which is completely unprecedented. This "lockdown" was initially declared for seven (7) days from $25^{\text {th }}$ March 2020, and then extended gradually by two months (each time by an addition of 15 days).

### 1.2.1.2 Declaration of 'Pandemic' by World Health Organisation was made

 on $12^{\text {th }}$ March 2020 and from second week of March 2020, the information of newer patients of positive corona virus (COVID 19) in India started pouring in. Although this "lockdown" was not very much sudden, but of course extraordinary to the normal citizens in all respect. Moreover, all educational institutes had suspended their classes even from an earlier date (from $16^{\text {th }}$ March 2020) in West Bengal. Thus, all the students were interned in their homes from middle of March 2020. By middle of April 2020, information of closure of small business, shutting down of all kinds of daily earning, beginning of the 'longmarch' by migrant workers in different parts of the land engulfed us with deep distress. In the educational front online classes were initiated by different institutes in this juncture, to compensate normal coursework to some extent.1.2.1.3 In this turbulent socio-economic backdrop, the need for assessing the situation of students was felt tremendously but personalised survey was impossible at this moment and online option of survey was only left. Thus this survey is aimed at, to know the information on social, economic, educational, health including mental health related issues from households with at least one enrolled college students, during "Lockdown".

### 1.3 Schedule of Enquiry (questionnaire):

1.3.1.1 Department of Statistics, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India prepared
the questionnaire needed to get required data as described above. As the targeted person from whom data are to be collected is a student (18-23 years of age), small and crisp format is used.
1.3.1.2 The whole questionnaire is divided into several sections like -
i. Personal information of the students
ii. Household level information including housing pattern, economic activity, educational background etc.
iii. Online classes and related academic issues
iv. Health related issues of the household including physical and mental health
1.3.1.3 Following statement shows details of questions that are formed in the questionnaire:

Statement 1.1: Details of Questionnaire used in the Survey

| SI. <br> No. | Details | Type of enquiry | No. of <br> questions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Personal information of <br> the student | Name of the college, Course <br> he/she is pursuing, <br> Address(District), Religion, <br> Social Group, Use of Arogya <br> Setu App | 8 |
| 2. | Information relating to <br> his/her household <br> along with features of <br> housing | Household size (male/female/ <br> children), number of earners, <br> Primary Occupation, Highest <br> education in household <br> (male/female), type and quality <br> of housing, source and <br> availability of water | 10 |


| 4. | Education related <br> issues including on-line <br> classes during <br> lockdown'  | Availability of e-learning machines, whether comfortable with e-learning, and problems encountered with that, uncertainty feeling regarding examination | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Health related issues \& situation of mental health of the students during "lockdown" | Whether have had medical problem during "lockdown" period and how did they cope with that, how frequently they went outside home and why, How did they cope up individually with the mental stress/strain during "lockdown" | 10 |

### 1.4 Scope and Coverage:

1.4.1.1 It is already mentioned that our sample was students of the undergraduate level from five colleges, three from Kolkata (Maulana Azad College, St. Paul's CM College and Women's Christian College), Bijoy Krishna Girls' College of Howrah and Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya of North 24 Parganas. Very few post graduate students from Maulana Azad College, Kolkata also took part.
1.4.1.2 It is important to convey that location of college does not necessarily imply the students' residential proximity with its geography. Thus, the students from South 24 Parganas, North 24 Parganas, Kolkata, Howrah and Hooghly are well represented in the sample. Other South Bengal districts are also found in good number while a very small percentage of students from Districts of North Bengal and other state are also included. It is to be mentioned here that the selection of college was not random, as it was not possible to contact all college Principals during this lockdown period by DOS, AIIHPH. It may be underlined in this connection that as it was an online survey, availability of internet connection was the basic prerequisite for the informants to fill up the
forms. So the coverage of this survey is students of the above five colleges having internet connection during $15^{\text {th }}$ to $31^{\text {st }}$ May 2020.

### 1.5 Framework and Limitation:

1.5.1.1 It is already mentioned that the survey is conducted through online mode. So all associated problem (primarily regarding coverage) of online surveys are very much present in this survey. Moreover, as the selection of college was non-random, no attempt was made to estimate population proportion from this sample. We have only presented the indicators for the sample proportion only. But as a good number of sample observations are received, it can be considered as a proper mixture of students, from all echelons of the society, from different social and economic category (common point being at least one member of the household is student of the five selected colleges).
1.5.1.2 However, following detail limitations encountered in this survey is mentioned below:
i. Fake Information: Since there is less accountability, the chances for students just hitting buttons to finish are high. We got around 21 students who entered the form with their email account and submitted without filling a single reply, hence had to delete those responses. Software took care of such problem once they have started replying the first query. Students took surveys because they are told by the college, but checking of accuracy was not easy for us decide.
ii. Limited Sampling and Respondent Availability: Certain group of students are less likely to have internet access and to respond to online questionnaires. So problem regarding online classes could not be properly checked.
iii. Possible Cooperation Problems: Some students, despite instructed by the college authorities, did not take the survey with seriousness and thus lesser number than the targeted sample was received within the time frame.
iv. No Interviewer: The lack of a trained interviewer to clarify and probe can lead to less reliable data in some questions like (a) ownership of the house (b) extra measures taken for combat COVID 19.
v. Problem in Comprehending Questions: Although the questionnaire was devised bilingually, problem in understanding the question is not ruled out completely. In many cases, students wrote some description as 'Others', although appropriate matching code was available in the list and simply need to be clicked, making the data cleaning process cumbersome.
vi. Amphun and its effect: The devastating cyclone Amphun, which took over Kolkata and vast areas of North and South 24 Parganas as well of the district of Howrah on May 20, 2020, was a major hindering factor in data gathering. Extensive areas of the above districts were completely cut-off from communication network and electricity. We can pretty well presume that the number of respondents would surely increase in the normal natural circumstances. However, for definite methodological constraints we could not extend the survey time.

## Methodology - Concepts - Definitions

### 2.1 Methodology

### 2.1.1.1 Starting date of the survey was $15^{\text {th }}$ May and ending date was $31^{\text {st }}$

 May. The whole methodology of this survey is shown through the following diagram:
2.1.1.2 As intimated in the Introduction section, Department of Statistics, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health prepared the questionnaire in which Ms. Sarbani Guha Ghosal, Associate Professor of the Department of Political Science and Dr. Sraboni Chatterjee, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology of Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, Howrah cooperated. The "link" for online submission of filled in forms was generated and it was shared with all Principals of the collaborating colleges. As planned, all Principals requested all Heads of Departments (HODs) of their colleges to share the link in their departmental WhatsApp group. Maulana Azad College, in addition, shared the link in their College Cloud where all students need to sign up to access their online classes. A small bi-lingual instruction to fill-up the form was derived by DOS along with the "link". HODs instructed all students to fill it up and submit. Once a student submits a form, it was available in the server and DOS could access the same.

### 2.1.2 Sample:

2.1.2.1 As already mentioned that selection of college was done purposively, and at least one-third students of the colleges were aimed as respondents of this survey. A total of approximate 2500 students were targeted and 2109 filled-in questionnaire were finally received. Out of that, 21 were casually filled in (with email address only). Thus, those 21 entries were discarded. A status of coverage for each college is presented below:

Statement 2.1 : Coverage of Students -College wise

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the College | Total Students <br> (approx.) | Filled-in forms <br> received (\%) |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 1. | Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya | 750 | $230(31 \%)$ |
| 2. | Bijoy Krishna Girls' College | 3500 | $1095(31 \%)$ |
| 3. | Maulana Azad College | 700 | $399(57 \%)$ |
| 4. | St. Paul's C M College | 1650 | $250(15 \%)$ |
| 5. | Women's Christian College | 900 | $95(11 \%)$ |
| 6. | Other Colleges |  | $19(----)$ |
|  | All | 7500 | 2088 |

### 2.1.2.2 Statement 2.2 below, on the other hand shows coverage of sample respondents and their proportion district-wise.

| Statement 2.2: Coverage of Students - District Wise |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Female | Male | Transgender | Total | \% of students |
| Howrah | 906 | 28 | 0 | 934 | 45\% |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 324 | 110 | 0 | 434 | 21\% |
| Kolkata | 146 | 97 | 0 | 243 | 12\% |
| Hooghly | 219 | 10 | 1 | 230 | 11\% |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 73 | 39 | 0 | 112 | 5\% |
| Nadia | 16 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 1\% |
| Murshidabad | 6 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 1\% |
| Malda | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 1\% |
| Uttar Dinajpur | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0\% |
| Purba Barddhaman | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0\% |
| Alipurduar | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
| Cooch Behar | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
| Purba Medinipur | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
| Paschim <br> Barddhaman | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
| Paschim Medinipur | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
| Birbhum | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0\% |
| Bankura | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
| Dakshin Dinajpur | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0\% |
| Jalpaiguri | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0\% |
| Darjeeling | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
| Jhargram | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| Purulia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| Jharkhand | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0\% |
| Bihar | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
| Haryana | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
| Uttar Pradesh | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
| Assam | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| Maharastra | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| All | 1726 | 361 | 1 | 2088 | 100 |

### 2.1.3 Instrumentation:

2.1.3.1 "Google form" was used to devise the online format of questionnaire (Please see Section 1.3 for details of questions, and Appendix B for actual questionnaire). While deriving the same it was taken into consideration that it should not be too lengthy and at the same time we should be in a position to derive important indicators of social, economic and morbidity aspect of the household including educational and mental health of the student. A small note on instruction to the students (other than definitions) were developed bilingually and shared as follows:


Instructions:

1. The data are being collected as an academic endeavour and individual information would never be used.
2. Please enter your Gmail to enter the form.
3. All questions are fairly understandable. Please be honest to fill the form.
4. Once you submit it cannot be editable.
5. Barring to very few, all are in the 'multiple choice', you have to click one. If you click 'other' the cursor will blink into a new line, and you have to describe your reply. You cannot move to next question without answering the same with description.
6. There are some check-box forms of questions where more than one can be selected. Similar approach for 'others' is to be taken here.
7. For the last section 'mental health', please click the most appropriate from the list which describes you truly.
8. If inadvertently you have submitted an unfinished form, please send us mail to statisticsaiihph@gmail.com.

### 2.1.4 Data Collection:

2.1.4.1 The survey was online and hence data collection was just not detailed. As the Principal of the college and HODs are involved, the "link" for the Instrumentation could reach to the students effortlessly. As requested, the
students also started submitting from Day 1 (i.e. 15.5.2020). Because of the Super Cyclone Amphan hit Bengal Coast on $20^{\text {th }}$ May, the internet connection was disrupted, and lesser forms were submitted after that. The end date could be extended as the social and economic problems encountered by the households could have been confounded by the problems faced due to Cyclone. Thus, we concluded data collection on $31^{\text {st }}$ May as strategized initially.


Figure 2.1: Day-wise submission of responses during the survey period

### 2.2 Concepts and Definitions

2.2.1.1 Like all surveys, some concepts and definitions were adopted in this survey and were written mostly in the body of the questionnaire itself for clarification before the respondents enabling consistency in data gathering and maintenance. The important concepts included in this study are mentioned below.

### 2.2.2 Household:

2.2.2.1 A household is a group of persons staying together in a single house and taking food from the same kitchen.

### 2.2.3 Earning and amount of earning of the family:

2.2.3.1 The earning received by any person of the household through employment or non-employment (like stipend, rent, pension, remittance etc.) This big umbrella was taken because in an online survey it will be difficult to make the students understand about employment and non-employment. Thus, any money coming to the household for use in purchasing goods and services are termed as earning for this survey. Prime earner refers to the person who earns most. Total amount earned per month in different ranges are collected.

### 2.2.4 Occupation, Problem of Employment, Economic Problem:

2.2.4.1 Some other information relating to economic aspect of the household are collected and possible problems/issues in "lockdown" period, also were enquired of. The nature of work of the primary earner was another question to deduce the pattern of economic condition of the household. The broad occupations were coded as follows:

```
    i. Salaried
    ii. Agriculture Labourer
    iii. Non-Agricultural Labourer
    iv. Self Employed (Agriculture)
    v. Self Employed (Manufacture)
    vi. Self Employed (Trade)
    vii. Self Employed (Service)
viii. Not in any Economic Activity (including rent/remittance/
        pension earner)
ix. Other
```

2.2.4.2 Problem in Employment/earning is an important aspect of "lockdown", as other than salary earner and/or earner of pension, rent, remittance etc. it is plausible that almost all types of work (mentioned above) was somehow affected by stoppage of normal work atmosphere during '"lockdown"'. So some questions were framed regarding this aspect as follows:
A) Whether employment in the household is affected during "lockdown"
B) (if yes) Number of earners facing problem in his/her employment
C) (if Yes) Type of Problem
D) (if Yes) Is there any Financial Constraints in the household
E) (if Yes) Is there any problem of food consumption

### 2.2.4.3 However, Type of Problem in Employment/Workplace/Earning was collected through following codes:

i. Employment remains but wage/salary not received
ii. Employment remains but wage/salary received is lesser
iii. Lost employment
iv. Business/service activity was carried out in a very small scale
v. No business/service activity could be done
vi. Other

### 2.2.5 Other Household Information:

2.2.5.1 Type of House, Number of rooms, Source of Drinking water and Sufficiency of water: This section is targeted to draw an indicator whether the household is capable to maintain social distance and 'washing hand criterion' to combat COVID 19. Only three types of house was designed to collect data for determining the economic status of the household: (i) Pucca- wall and roof being concrete/brick, (ii)Semi Pucca- concrete/brick wall but roof being made up of something other than concrete and (iii) Katcha- Both wall and roof being made up of something other than concrete/brick. At the same time data regarding the number of rooms in the house was also collected to derive allocation of per capita room. This is an indicator of the population density of the family vis-a-vis the space. This information along with per capita room can enable us to derive "likelihood of maintaining social distancing" within a household. Source of drinking water and sufficiency of water were collected from all respondent household as codes and 'Likert Scale' respectively. Moreover, number of times household members move outside home and other persons visiting household are collected to know the awareness of the households towards the "lockdown" measures.

### 2.2.6 Information on Morbidity:

2.2.6.1 In this section, information regarding chronic patients, prevalent ailments and cases of hospitalisation of the household members were collected. Problems encountered in addressing these issues were also collected through the questionnaire.

### 2.2.7 Online courses and related issues:

2.2.7.1 As mentioned earlier, thrust was given to collect such data from the students. Whether college has arranged online class, whether the student attended, if attended, what type of devices are used, whether encountered any problem while attending, and the reaction of students regarding completion of course are collected.

### 2.2.8 Mental Health of the Students:

2.2.8.1 Strong and healthy physique cannot develop on a weak and disturbed mind. It is for this, attempts were made to evaluate the mental health of the students. Their feeling and mood swings during the lockdown period was attempted to evaluate by focussing on their ways of spending time, nature and frequency of their relaxation and agitation.

## Survey Analysis: Students and their Household

### 3.1 General

3.1.1.1 The present enquiry on socioeconomic and socio-cultural issues of students and their households was carried out as a part of the academic inquisitiveness by Department of Statistics, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health and other five colleges of Kolkata, Howrah and 24 Parganas (North). The objective of the survey was primarily to study the self-reported status of the students and their households on several socio economic aspects, morbidity status, experience of online classes, including experience of lockdown during COVID 19 pandemic situation.
3.1.1.2 It is important to note in this perspective that, households (or student within households) are segregated in sectors by their place of domicile, and not by the location of college. This may be also kept in mind that all these data are summarised based on the information "as reported by the student." It is well recognized in literature that "self-reported morbidity" and/or "chronic disease" differs from morbidity rates measured by clinical examination or examination of bio-markers. But if due caution is exercised in interpretation, especially for disease-specific data, this information is still very useful- especially regarding data related to ambulatory and in-patient health care services.

### 3.2 Profile of Students and their Households

### 3.2.1 Distribution of Students in Districts, Gender and Social Groups:

### 3.2.1.1 In this survey, a total number of 2088 students responded from

 five colleges of which three are girls' college, whereas rest two colleges are coeducational. As a result, $83 \%$ of the total respondents were female and $17 \%$ were male (only one reported as transgender). Among the respondents, 45\% students were from Howrah, 21\% were from 24 Parganas (North), 12\% were from Kolkata, 9\% were from Hooghly and 5\% were from 24 Parganas (South) (see Statement 2.2, Chapter 2). Students of other districts and other states arevery few. There were $10 \%$ OBC, $16 \%$ SC and $1 \%$ ST students; rest $73 \%$ belonged to General category (see Appendix Table - 4).
Salient Features regarding the Surveyed students:

### 3.2.2 Household Income:

3.2.2.1 Household income, or for that matter "level of living", is highly related to the "general health" of the household members as well as to the extent of "problems encountered" during "lockdown". Thus, as the background information, the distribution of students by income level is highly relevant for a correlative study on all the variables collected through this survey. Collection of reliable data regarding income is considerably difficult, so we only targeted total monthly earnings of the household. This procedure may underestimate the level of income in comparison with the actual, but expected to provide a reasonable proxy for relative ranking of the households according to level of living. 'Income Category' distribution of households is given in Statement 3.1.

| Statement 3.1: DistributionProportion of Respondents' Household by Income <br> Categories |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students whose household Income per month (in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{U p ~ t o ~}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 0 1 -}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 1 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 0 1 -}$ | More than <br> $\mathbf{7 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0 0 0}$ | All |  |  |  |
| 779 | 630 | 301 | 217 | 161 | 2088 |
| 37.31 | 30.17 | 14.42 | 10.39 | 7.71 | 100 |

3.2.2.2 It is thus evident that $67 \%$ of the students are coming from a household with less than or equal to ₹ 15000 earning while only $8 \%$ belong to households with more than ₹50000 earning bracket.
3.2.2.3 It may be significant to know about number of earning members in a household and its distribution in this present sample. Distribution of households by number of earners is given in Statement 3.1a.

| Statement 3.1a: Number of Earners in Household |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of earner | Household |  |
|  | Number | Proportion |
| 1 | 1248 | $60 \%$ |
| 2 | 571 | $27 \%$ |
| 3 | 161 | $8 \%$ |
| More than 3 | 108 | $5 \%$ |
| Any | 2088 |  |

3.2.2.4 About $87 \%$ of the total household have only one (60\%) or two earners ( $27 \%$ ). Rest $13 \%$ have more than one earner, with only $5 \%$ having more than 3 earners. In the sample under observation more earners do not necessarily mean that the household belongs to the upper economic strata. There are about 50 households with more than 4 earners but total monthly income is less than ₹15000.


Figure 3.1: Distribution of Students'Household according to Income Category

### 3.2.3 Household Occupation:

3.2.3.1 Statement 3.2 depicts the occupation of prime earner of the household.

| Statement 3.2: Distribution /Proportion of Respondents' |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| by Occupation of Prime Earner |  |  |  | ( | Number of household | \% of household |
| ---: | :--- |
| SI No. | Occupation |

3.2.3.2 The occupational structure and occupational distribution indicate the degree of diversification in the sample household in terms of their activity in different sectors of the economy. In this particular sample, the households are predominated with two occupations (61\%) viz. wage/salaried (38\%) and selfemployed in trade (23\%), followed by about 10\% share each of non-agriculture labour, self employed in manufacture and self employed in service.

### 3.2.4 Type of Structure of Dwelling:

3.2.4.1 Structure of the dwelling not only reflects the living condition of the household and its members but also has a direct bearing on the health conditions of the residents. The distribution of households by type of structure of their dwelling units is, therefore, given in Statement 3.3a for each district. It is seen that $80 \%$ of the households reside in pucca structures. The distribution in respect of the structure of dwellings is found to get worse for the 24 Parganas (North) district in terms of percentage of non-pucca structures. On the other hand, pucca structure is much more common in the Kolkata. In this discussion, 'other districts' and 'other state' are not commented as these are conglomerisation of many districts (or states) of small frequencies, thus losing its own characterisation.

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Statement 3.3a : Distribution of Respondents' Households } \\ \text { according to Type of Dwelling Unit in Each District }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | Structure of dwelling |  |  |  |$]$

3.2.4.2 Generally the quality of dwelling structure is known to be associated with the level of living of a household. From this perspective it is useful to examine the dwelling type in relation to the income category. It is perfectly corroborated from Statement 3.3b below. If we see the distribution of the dwelling unit with respect to household income, as shown in statement 3.3b, it is seen that situation is worst for the weaker economic category, i.e. for household with monthly income less than ₹15000. Quite expectedly with increasing economic strata the percentages of respondents of having non-pucca dwelling structure have reduced.

Statement 3.3b : Proportion of Respondents' Households according to Type of Dwelling Unit in Each Income Categories

| Sl. <br> No. | Income category | Household dwelling structure |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Kaccha | Semi Pacca | Pacca | Total |
| 1 | Up to ₹7500 | 6.5 | 27.2 | 66.2 | 100 |
| 2 | $₹ 7501-₹ 15000$ | 2.7 | 16.8 | 80.5 | 100 |
| 3 | $₹ 15001-₹ 25000$ | 1.7 | 5.3 | 93.0 | 100 |
| 4 | $₹ 25001-₹ 50000$ | 1.4 | 4.1 | 94.5 | 100 |
| 5 | More than₹ 50000 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 98.8 | 100 |
| 6 | Total | 3.7 | 16.5 | 79.8 | 100 |



Figure 3.2: Household Dwelling Structure according to Income Category

### 3.2.5 Number of Rooms and Household Size:

3.2.5.1 Number of rooms in a household is a key indicator of the population density within the family. At first we are interested to know the distribution of household by its size and number of rooms separately, thus by combining the same we may derive the congestion factor of each household. In the context of this survey it is an indicator for the feasibility of maintaining social distancing process. Statement 3.4a shows distribution of household by household size. On the other hand Statement 3.4 b shows distribution of household by number of rooms in a house. Statement 3.4c shows distribution of household by number of rooms in a house in comparison with household size.

| Statement 3.4a Distribution/Proportion of Respondents' Household by Household Size |  |  | Statement 3.4b Distribution/Proportion of Respondents' Household by Number of Rooms |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household size | No. of HHD | \% of HHD | Number of Rooms | No. of HHD | \% of HHD |
| Less than 3 | 38 | 2 | Less than 3 | 1084 | 52 |
| 3 | 551 | 26 | 3 | 419 | 20 |
| 4 | 735 | 35 | 4 | 239 | 11 |
| 5 | 339 | 16 | 5 | 346 | 17 |
| More Than 5 | 425 | 20 | More Than 5 | - | - |
| all | 2088 | 100 | all | 2088 | 100 |

3.2.5.2 Statement 3.4a depicts that 35\% households have four members in the household, whereas $26 \%$ have three members and $16 \%$ have five members. One fifth of households have more than 5 members. A meagre $2 \%$ household have less than 2 members. On the other hand statement 3.4b explains that three fourth (72\%) households have three or less rooms. Thus it becomes pertinent to know the association of number of rooms versus household size, which is given below in statement 3.4c.
3.2.5.3 This statement 3.4c below clearly indicates that the relation between household size and number of rooms is not that fair and just but there exists ample cases where quite a big household somehow manages in less number of rooms, and at the same time opposite leisurely arrangement also prevails. This gives rise to the need of in-depth study of income of a household and affording bigger house irrespective of the household size.


Figure 3.3: Proportion of Per-head Room across Income Categories
3.2.5.4 It is important to know the extent of possibility of maintaining social distancing and difficulty of staying inside the house during "lockdown" period.

| Statement 3.4c: Percentage of Respondents' Households by Number of Rooms for each Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household | Number of rooms in the household |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | All |
| 2 | 26 | 39 | 16 | 8 | 11 | 100 |
| 3 | 19 | 42 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 100 |
| 4 | 17 | 44 | 19 | 9 | 12 | 100 |
| 5 | 10 | 39 | 23 | 17 | 11 | 100 |
| 6 | 12 | 28 | 27 | 11 | 22 | 100 |
| >6 | 4 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 50 | 100 |
| All | 15 | 37 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 100 |

3.2.5.5 Statement 3.4d shows distribution of number of rooms in a house in relation to household monthly income. The statement visibly describes that number of rooms in a household increases with number household income. As far as more number rooms in a house is concerned, it is evident that proportion increases substantially as we move from lower income group to upper income group; about $60 \%$ houses with four or five rooms is resided by households with highest income category. As high as $70 \%$ households with lowest income category, however, stays in houses with one or two rooms.

| Statement 3.4d: Percentage Proportion of Respondents' household with <br> Number of Rooms in Different Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income category | Number of households with number of rooms |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| Up to ₹7500 | 26 | 45 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 100 |
| ₹7501- ₹15000 | 13 | 41 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 100 |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 5 | 29 | 26 | 16 | 26 | 100 |
| ₹25001-₹50000 | 2 | 31 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 100 |
| More than <br> ₹50000 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 17 | 43 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2.6 Variation in Source of Drinking Water:

3.2.6.1 Safe drinking water is regarded as basic human rights by the United Nations and by safely managed water it refers to "drinking water services located on premises, available when needed and free from contamination". Unfortunately according to the UNICEF report less than 50\% of the Indian population has access to safe drinking water. The quality of drinking water is a
very important determinant of health condition. The source from where drinking water is collected by the household roughly indicates its quality and thus the awareness of the households of the need for safe drinking water. Information collected on the major source of drinking water used by the household during the major part of a year has been presented in Statement 3.5. The most prevalent source is "piped water in dwelling/ premises/ yard". Next source in importance, as reported, were "tube-well/ bore-well/ protected well (inside premises)" and "piped water outside the dwelling/premises". The proportions of households reporting the use of drinking water for the major part of the year from these two sources were 43 per cent, 29 per cent, and 15 per cent respectively. Thus these three sources together provided drinking water to 91 per cent of households. A small but significant proportion of households, even in 2020, collected their drinking water either from a "supplied in container", or from a "tube-well/ bore-well/ protected well (outside)". This constitutes 9 per cent households of the sample.

Statement 3.5: Proportion of Household with Major Source of Drinking Water

| Piped water <br> in dwelling/ <br> premises/ <br> yard | Tube-well/ bore- <br> well/ protected <br> well (inside <br> premises) | Piped water <br> outside <br> dwelling/ <br> premises etc. | Tanker/ <br> truck/drum <br> (supplied in <br> container) | Tube-well/ <br> bore-well/ <br> protected well <br> (outside) | All <br> incl. <br> others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 896 | 606 | 306 | 176 | 97 | 2088 |
| 42.9 | 29.0 | 14.7 | 8.4 | 0.3 | 100 |

### 3.2.6.2 Variation in sourcing drinking water categorically provides plausible

 rationale behind living standard. Statement 3.5 a shows percentage of households within a specific income category by source of drinking water.

Figure 3.4: Source of Drinking Water to the Respondent Households

| Statement 3.5a : Percentage Distribution of Household by Source of Drinking Water for Each Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Drinking water | Percentage of Household in Different Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & 7500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7501- } \\ & 15000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15001- \\ & 25000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25001- } \\ & 50000 \end{aligned}$ | More than $50000$ | Total |
| Piped water in dwelling/ premises/ yard | 34.8 | 39.0 | 55.1 | 52.5 | 61.5 | 42.9 |
| Piped water outside | 17.6 | 16.7 | 12.3 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 14.7 |
| Tanker/ truck/ drum (supplied in container) | 4.5 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 8.4 |
| Tube-well/ bore-well/ protected well (inside premises) | 39.7 | 29.4 | 17.3 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 29.0 |
| Tube-well/ bore-well/ protected well (outside) | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other | 3.3 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 4.6 |
| All | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

3.2.6.3 The table above noticeably indicates that lower in the income category, dependence on sourcing drinking water outside premises is more. About one fifth household of lower economic category still fetches drinking water from outside, whereas the similar case is only $5 \%$ for highest income group.

### 3.2.7 Educational Status in the household:

3.2.7.1 A balance of education at home and academic institute actually facilitates proper learning. It is for this reason educational status of the family members is taken into consideration. Highest education level of the male and female member of the household was collected to get some idea about the status of education in the household. Statement 3.6 below shows number and percentage of household in accordance with the highest education level of male and female member. The highest education level within male member is still primary or less for $15 \%$ households; the similar percentage is $7 \%$ for female members whereas the same for graduate and above is about $45 \%$ for both male and female members.

| Statement 3.6: Number and Percentage of Respondent's Household as per the Highest Education Level of Male \& Female Member |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Education | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Level | Number of Households |  | \% of Households |  |
| Illiterate | 37 | 28 | 2\% | 1\% |
| Primary | 270 | 132 | 13\% | 6\% |
| Secondary | 386 | 277 | 18\% | 13\% |
| Higher Secondary | 475 | 742 | 23\% | 36\% |
| Graduate | 721 | 689 | 35\% | 33\% |
| Post Graduate | 176 | 220 | 8\% | 11\% |
| Other | 1 |  | 0\% | - |
| NA ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | 22 |  | 1\% | - |
| Total | 2088 | 2088 | 100 | 100 |

### 3.3 Problem of Employment and related issues in the Households

3.3.1.1 This is of utmost concern now that India's workers are facing an uncertain future and job losses loom large in the wake of one of the worst economic crises. International Labour Organisation estimated that globally more than 25 million jobs would be threatened due to the spread of corona virus. It is estimated that four out of five people (81 per cent) in the global workforce of 3.3 billion are currently affected by full or partial workplace closure. The ILO, in its report, describes COVID-19 as the "worst global crisis since World War II."

### 3.3.1.2 In India, the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE) in its

 report (please refer cmie.com) exposed that the unemployment rate has increased from 8.75 in March 20 to 23.52 in April 20, 23.48 in May 20 (please refer cmie.com). Data from CMIE's Consumer Pyramids Household Survey reveals youngsters in the age group 20-24 years accounted for 8.5 percent of the total employed persons in the country in 2019-20. But, they accounted for 11 percent of those who lost jobs. Around 34.2 million of these young men and women were working in 2019-20. In April 2020 their numbers were down to 20.9 million. Over 13 million youngsters lost their jobs in the lockdown. Another 14 million jobs were lost in the age-group 25-29 years, the CMIE report said. "This loss again, was disproportionately high. This group accounted for 11.1 percent of total employment in 2019-20 but it accounted for 11.5 percent of the job losses," the report said.3.3.1.3 Over 27 million youngsters in their 20s lost their jobs in April. This has serious long-term repercussion, the report said, adding it is during this age that young India builds its career in the hope of a bright future. If the career of this cohort is disrupted or postponed by even a year it will have to compete with the new cohorts joining the labour force after them - arguably, for fewer jobs. Young India will not be able to build the savings it will require later in life, the report said. In April, 33 million men and women in their 30s lost jobs. Around 86 percent of the job losses were among men.

### 3.3.1.4 The CMIE report said, job losses among the vulnerable are likely to

 raise the proportion of households in debt. It will also possibly raise debt delinquency. Job losses among the young population would have implications on savings. Household cash reserve also depreciates to a considerable amount during this period. The loss of jobs among the young deprives households of the extra cash that is mostly saved for either buying a house or durables or for retirement. This loss of savings will have long-term implications, the report said.
### 3.3.1.5 With the backdrop of household profile in Section 3.2 it is extremely

 important to know the extent of difficulty faced by the household regarding their employment and earning amidst the lockdown period in this sample. As mentioned earlier, as many as six interrelated questions were placed before the respondents through the questionnaire and the replies were analysed in detail and complied in Table-11 and Table-12 of appendix A. In this section those tables with different characterisation will be discussed in detail. Out of 2088 households 1726 members of 1344 households, which is as high as $18 \%$ of the total persons (within households) and 64\% of the total households, faced some trouble or other in his/her employment (earning) during this unprecedented 'lockdown' scenario. Statement 3.7 a shows district-wise distribution of households and persons who encountered some difficulty in his/her employment (earning) during 'lockdown'. In Howrah 72\% households were reported to be affected followed by 24 Parganas (North) and Hooghly and 24 Parganas (South) (around 60\%). In Kolkata half of the surveyed household was negatively affected.| Statement 3.7a: Distribution of Respondents' Household and Person within Household Facing Problems Related to Employment During Lockdown |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Surveyed Household | Faced problem regarding employment |  |  |
|  |  | No. of Household | \% of Household | No. of Affected Persons |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 434 | 276 | 63.6 | 356 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 112 | 64 | 57.1 | 81 |
| Hooghly | 230 | 138 | 60.0 | 165 |
| Howrah | 934 | 676 | 72.4 | 884 |
| Kolkata | 243 | 127 | 52.3 | 156 |
| Other Dist. | 121 | 54 | 44.6 | 71 |
| Other State | 14 | 9 | 64.3 | 13 |
| Total | 2088 | 1344 | 64.4 | 1726 |

3.3.1.6 It may be equally essential to know the extent of distress for different social groups. If we see corresponding percentages of surveyed household belonging to a particular social category, we may have some idea regarding that. Statement 3.7b shows Social Group-wise distribution of households and persons who encountered some difficulty in his/her employment (earning) during 'lockdown'. Within this surveyed households, those belonging to OBCB and SC were worst affected (more than 70\%), followed by households belonging to General category and OBCA.

| Statement  <br> 3.7b: Social Group-wise  <br> Persons Facing  Employment Problems During Lockdown |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

3.3.1.7 We are aware of the fact that households with lower level of income are generally not in a position to decide on job options because of pressing daily need for managing household expenses. Thus, they usually accept all sorts of employment without examining its certainty. This category of population is mostly hit on any catastrophic situation; natural, economic or social. Statement 3.7c shows Income Category-wise distribution of households
and persons who encountered some difficulty in his/her employment (earning) during 'lockdown'.

| Statement 3.7c: Distribution of Respondents' <br> Facing Problems During Lockdown for Different Income Category |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category <br> (per month) | Employment Problem Faced |  |  |
|  | No. of Household | \% of Household | No. of Affected Persons |
| ₹7501- ₹15000 | 633 | 81.3 | 766 |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 462 | 73.3 | 617 |
| ₹25001-₹50000 | 164 | 54.5 | 231 |
| More than ₹50000 | 66 | 30.4 | 76 |
| Total | 19 | 11.8 | 36 |

3.3.1.8 In the surveyed household too, similar picture is revealed. More than four fifth of the households belonging to the lowest income category have experienced negative effect in their respective employment. Observing the table it is quite evident that more we move from lower economic strata to higher economic strata (characterised by their monthly income level), percentage of households whose employment are affected becomes less, and in highest income category it is only $12 \%$.

### 3.3.1.9 Statement 3.7d shows distribution of households and persons who

 encountered some difficulty in his/her employment (earning) during 'lockdown' for particular occupation of prime earner. It shows that highest percentage ( $87 \%$ ) of affected household belongs to Non-Agricultural Labourer followed by Agricultural Labourer ( $80 \%$ ); whereas about three fourth of Self Employed households whose prime earning comes from either in Manufacture, or Trade or Service were, badly affected by closure of all works during Lockdown. As expected least (46\%) affected group of household was Salaried household.

Figure 3.5: Proportion of Households Facing Employment Problems during Lockdown for Different Income Category

| Statement 3.7d: Distribution of <br> Employment Problems During Lockdown in Different Occupation |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |



Figure 3.6: Problem in Employment during Lockdown over different occupations

### 3.3.2 Detail of Problems Encountered:

3.3.2.1 _Till now we have discussed the overall percentages of household who were adversely affected in their economic lives, but it is also important to know the nature of problems encountered by them. Through the schedule below we have categorised the problem into following narratives:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { z } \\ & \frac{0}{1} \\ & \frac{1}{1} \\ & \underset{U}{0} \end{aligned}$ | Self Employed : <br> Manufacture, Service <br> \& Trade | (i) No Business/Service activity could be done <br> (ii) Business/Service activity was carried out in a very small scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wage <br> \& Salary Earner | (i)Lost Employment <br> (ii)Employment remains but salary/wage not received <br> (ii) Employment remains but lesser salary/wage received |

3.3.2.2 It is to be kept in mind that there may be more than one earning member in a household and all may have separate types problems; thus total frequency of problem may go beyond 1344 (exact number of household who faced some problem or others). Hence we use the actual number of household (1344) while deriving percentages. Statement 3.8a shows details of problem faced by the different households and its percentage over the total affected household.

| Statement 3.8a: Number of Household and Percentage of household <br> (over the total affected households) vis-a-vis Specific Problem Faced |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| SI. <br> no | Problem Detail | Faced problem by |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Lost employment | No. of hhd | \% of hhd |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Employment remains but wage/salary not received | 133 | 9.90 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Employment remains but less wage/salary received | 171 | 12.72 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | No business/service activity could be done | 233 | 17.34 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Business/service activity carried out in a very small <br> scale | 562 | 41.82 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | Other | 245 | 18.23 |
|  | Total | 51 | 3.79 |
| \&: total <br> \% is more than 1344 as more than one entry is possible for one household. |  |  |  |

3.3.2.3 It is equally important to throw light in the living standard (characterised by Income Category). Statement 3.8b shows percentage of household falling in specific problem over different income category. "Lost Employment" took place only with households in lower economic echelon; maximum (64\%) is seen in lowest group (i.e. monthly income 'Up to ₹7500'). Actually households belonging to this lowest income category confronted most adversity of all forms. For all kind of troubles, this poorest band of the surveyed household depicted the maximum percentage among all the income categories. On the other hand, the richest band of the surveyed household characterised by monthly income being 'More than ₹50000' confronted the least percentage within each of the specific problem. None of this household group lost any employment; and only 1-2\% faced other problems. Most these group are either salaried worker or self-employed in service activity like lawyer, doctor etc. with high educational background.

| Statement 3.8b Percentage of Household with Specific Problems in Different Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem Encountered | Households belonging to income category (Rs.) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & ₹ 7500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ₹7501- } \\ & \text { ₹15000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ₹15001- } \\ & \text { ₹25000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ₹25001- } \\ & \text { ₹50000 } \end{aligned}$ | More than ₹50000 | Total |
| Lost employment | 63.9 | 27.1 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Employment remains but wage/salary not received | 50.9 | 33.3 | 11.7 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Employment remains but less wage/salary received | 42.5 | 33.0 | 15.5 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 100 |
| No business/service activity could be done | 47.2 | 35.2 | 10.9 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Business/service activity carried out in small scale | 38.0 | 39.2 | 14.7 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Other | 39.2 | 25.5 | 15.7 | 13.7 | 5.9 | 100 |

3.3.2.4 Association of specific problems encountered in different income category can be observed from another perspective also. Statement 3.8c shows percentage of household falling in specific income category over different problems.
Statement 3.8c Percentage of Household Falling in Specific Problem income category over
different problems.
3.3.2.5 Now within a particular income category pre-dominance of a particular problem is examined. Among all the income strata highest predominance of 'No business/service activity could be done' is observed. In
lowest echelon it $41 \%$ households faced this particular problem; whereas that of all other problem (except other) is around $14 \%$.


Figure 3.7: Types of Employment related problem during Lockdown across Income Category

| Statement 3.8d: Percentage of Various Problems Faced among Households in Particular Occupational Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of problem faced |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupational category | Lost employment | Employment remains wage/salary not recd | Employment remains but less wage/ salary recd | No business/ service activity could be done | Business/ service activity carried out in a small scale | Other |
| Wage \&Salary earner | 6.98 | 25.84 | 36.18 | 19.12 | 6.98 | 4.91 |
| Agriculture Labourer | 16.07 | 16.07 | 14.29 | 30.36 | 16.07 | 7.14 |
| NonAgricultural labourer | 29.78 | 10.67 | 21.35 | 28.09 | 7.87 | 2.25 |
| Self Employed (agriculture) | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 42.50 | 20.00 | 7.50 |
| Self Employed (Manufacture) | 3.21 | 7.05 | 5.77 | 60.90 | 19.87 | 3.21 |
| Self Employed (Trade) | 2.41 | 3.48 | 4.01 | 53.48 | 33.42 | 3.21 |
| Self Employed (Service) | 11.46 | 5.73 | 7.01 | 57.96 | 16.56 | 1.27 |
| Others inc. not in econ. activity | 17.02 | 12.77 | 17.02 | 38.30 | 10.64 | 4.26 |
| Total | 9.27 | 12.69 | 16.69 | 40.36 | 17.80 | 3.64 |

3.3.2.6 The statement 3.8d above shows different types of problems faced among households in particular Occupational Category. Here as stated earlier, the occupational category of a household is defined by the occupation of prime earner; there could be other people in other occupation of the same household. Within households type 'Wage and Salary earner, about one fourth did not receive their salary, whereas more than one third received in lesser amount; and 7\% lost their employment. In 'Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Labour' about $30 \%$ households either did not receive their salary or received in lesser amount whereas about another 30\% of Non-Agricultural labour household and $16 \%$ Agricultural Labour households lost their job. However, 60\% of Self Employed households (engaged in manufacturing, Trade and Service) could not carry out their business/service. The similar percentage for those who are engaged in agriculture is less (about 40\%). Among self employed, the percentage of households who could carry out their business/service in smaller scale varies from $16 \%$ to $33 \%$.


Figure 3.8: Major problems faced by the Households according to Major Occupation Group

### 3.3.3 Financial Crisis:

3.3.3.1 An additional question in Likert's Scale is asked to those who have reported problems in employment on whether the household is in financial crisis (1 being least and 5 being severe).

| Statement 3.9: Percentages of Household with Various Levels of Financial Crisis in Likert's Scale in Different Income Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category (per month) | Household Financial Crisis Scale |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Code 1 <br> No Problem | Code 2 | Code 3 Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Severe Problem | Total |
| Up to ₹7500 | 18\% | 11\% | 29\% | 19\% | 23\% | 100\% |
| ₹7501-₹15000 | 25\% | 16\% | 33\% | 15\% | 10\% | 100\% |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 44\% | 19\% | 26\% | 8\% | 4\% | 100\% |
| ₹ 25001 -₹50000 | 59\% | 19\% | 18\% | 2\% | 3\% | 100\% |
| More than ₹50000 | 83\% | 12\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| Total | 33\% | 15\% | 27\% | 13\% | 13\% | 100\% |

3.3.3.2 Statement 3.9 above depicts the percentages of household describing extent of financial crisis in Likert's Scale within an income group. 13\% of these households replied with severe problem whereas $33 \%$ are expressing 'all right'. As expected, the middle point (indifferent: still under Control) is selected by nearly one third of the households.


Figure 3.9: Severity of Financial Crisis across Income Categories

### 3.3.4 Availability of Food:

| Statement 3.10: Availability of Meals 3 times a Day for Members of Household in Financial Crisis |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Households' status vis-à-vis Food Availability |  |  | \% of hhds with problem |
|  | Not available | Available | Total |  |
| Up to ₹7500 | 72 | 258 | 330 | 21.8 |
| ₹ 7501 - ₹15000 | 27 | 132 | 159 | 17.0 |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 6 | 29 | 35 | 17.1 |
| ₹ 25001 -₹50000 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 10.0 |
| More than ₹50000 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Total | 106 | 432 | 538 | 19.7 |

3.3.4.1 For households expressing themselves in severe or near severe financial crisis ( 4 and 5 in Likert's scale in Statement 3.9), procurement of three full meal (Breakfast, Lunch and Dinner) for all the household members is difficult. Statement 3.10 portrays the situation of financially distressed households. Around $20 \%$ households with severe and near severe financial crisis are not able to procure 3 square meal daily. As expected among those households, situation is very bleak for poorer section, where $22 \%$ are not getting the same followed by next two income groups ( $₹ 7501$ to $₹ 15000$ \& ₹15001₹25000) where around $17 \%$ are deprived of the essential food. Among the richest income band this incident is not available.


Figure 3.10: Proportion of Households, who are in Financial Problem, not getting 3 meals during Lockdown

### 3.3.5 Collection of Food:

3.3.5.1 It is also important, in this juncture to know the method of food procurement of the surveyed households. Whether these households took recourse to external help (religious organisation, political parties or NGOs/persons etc.)? Dependence on Government rations was also a major point of inquest. Statement 3.11 depicts the case in relation to multiple income categories. One household was given option to select more than one, so it is not a percentage distribution. Percentage is derived in respect to actual households in a particular income category. As expected, dependence on government ration is maximum for lowest income category. Contrarily 'Purchase from Market' is most prevalent in the upper economic band. Similarly, external assistance from others (Religious organisation/ Political entity/NGO etc) is also highest for the lowest income strata.

| Statement 3.11 : Methods of Food Procurement in <br> Household with Different Income Category |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income category | Percentage of households arranging food from |  |  |  |
|  | Government <br> Ration | Receipts <br> from Others | Purchase <br> from Market | Other |
|  | 81.0 | 15.9 | 39.4 | 2.7 |
| ₹7501- ₹15000 | 64.8 | 8.1 | 57.0 | 3.2 |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 45.8 | 3.7 | 70.4 | 6.0 |
| ₹25001-₹50000 | 25.8 | 1.8 | 81.6 | 6.0 |
| more than ₹50000 | 14.9 | 2.5 | 87.6 | 6.8 |
| Total | 60.2 | 9.3 | 57.3 | 4.0 |



Figure 3.11: Source of Food during Lockdown

## Survey Analysis: Education and Online Classes

### 4.1 General

4.1.1.1 In the academic sector during the COVID 19 period, the issue of the online class perhaps has emerged as the most debatable issue. With the declaration of the "lockdown" as the preventive method in different countries, educational institutions across globe were compelled to suspend physical classroom and shifted on online class. Though the Indian students are not completely unaware of this concept, but in the pre-COVID19 period, online class was predominantly confined into private sector initiatives of education. But for continuing academic activities the government and the government aided institutions (all our institutions under survey) also started to adopt online methods. However, there is a fundamental difference between conducting online class and using digital means for academic activities, including teaching and learning and thus the entire process demands serious introspection.

### 4.1.1.2 In this chapter our objective is to highlight education related issues

 of the students and their experiences with online classes. Schools and colleges across India have been shut since mid-March in order to enforce social distancing clause in the truest sense. Now with the nationwide lockdown in force until June 30, 2020 (at least), physical classes are unlikely to resume soon. In order to ease the educational suffering of the students and to continue their learning process in an unabated way, the Ministry of Human Resource Development (HRD) has been constantly asking schools and colleges to teach students through online classes, while making several platforms available to aid the exercise. The National Council for Educational Research and Training (NCERT) has also developed a curriculum to suit the online education pattern. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), meanwhile, advised "the use of community radio and television broadcasts" as alternatives "to lessen already existing inequalities". "These are solutions we are addressing with our Global Coalition partners", UNESCO Director General Audrey Azoulay said in the report. The Indian government has been pushing for initiatives on the same lines by making lessons available onDTH platforms and is also exploring the possibility of disseminating lessons through All India Radio. However, the University Grants Commission, the parent body for higher education in the country, has emphasized on Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC) years before and now with the lockdown in operation, all the regular courses also have to opt for online.

### 4.1.1.3 However, online teaching and learning is not a very easy process

 and it is absolutely a lot more than speaking in a microphone with a camera at one end and connecting a laptop/ phone and listening to it on the other end. Actually lot of challenges are present in both side of the spectrum. A whole gamut of digital exercise are involved in between that in a country like India, is surely going to create strong digital divide. UNESCO and UNICEF also expressed their fear as to them the digital shift may alienate financially disadvantaged section of students who don't have access to the technology. In a report issued on 21 April, 2020 the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), a multi-dimensional agency, highlighted another concern about the online shift. Giving a global perspective, UNESCO noted, "Half of the total number of learners - some 826 million ( 82.6 crores) students kept out of the classroom by the Covid-19 pandemic who do not have access to a household computer and 43 per cent ( 706 million or 70.6 crores) have no internet at home, at a time when digitally-based distance learning is used to ensure educational continuity in the vast majority of countries."4.1.1.4 Besides the question of access there is also security threat. Earlier on May, for example, the Union Ministry of Home Affairs flagged security concerns about the Zoom app for video conferencing, which emerged as the mainstay across India - for government meetings as well as private appointments and online lessons - in the early days of the lockdown.

### 4.2 Course wise Distribution of Students

4.2.1.1 All the streams and levels of the colleges are covered in purview of this survey. Other than undergraduate courses, some colleges have post graduate level too. Thus, some of the respondents, though very few, are from postgraduate level. In the undergraduate level there are a significant number of
student respondents from general and honours courses in each stream viz. B A, B Sc, B Com.


Figure 4.1: Distribution of Students across Courses

### 4.2.2 Proportion of Students in Districts:

4.2.2.1 Let us first have an idea of students and their educational status. Statement 4.1 shows distribution of students by type of course they are attending in different districts:

| Statement 4.1: District wise Distribution of Students in Different Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | B A <br> (GEN) | B A <br> (HONS) | B Sc <br> (GEN) | B Sc <br> (HONS) | B Com <br> (GEN) | B Com <br> (HONS) | M A/ <br> M Sc | Total |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 152 | 165 | 14 | 92 |  | 9 | 2 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 9 | 59 | 3 | 40 |  | 1 |  | 112 |
| Hooghly | 23 | 96 | 5 | 94 | 4 | 8 |  | 230 |
| Howrah | 217 | 490 | 9 | 180 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 20 | 117 | 5 | 78 |  | 19 | 4 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 6 | 48 |  | 66 |  | 2 | 1 | 123 |
| Other State |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 12 |
| Total | 427 | 980 | 38 | 553 | 12 | 69 | 9 | 2088 |

### 4.2.3 Across Income Group:

4.2.3.1 The distribution of students in different courses vis-a-vis their income group clearly reveals a relation in stream selection. Higher education in science is comparatively expensive than higher education in arts \& humanities in our country till date. The data presented in Statement 4.2 also corroborates this fact to some extent. As science education demands laboratory fees and excursion fees, very often the students from the lower economic groups do not opt for science education, particularly in honours courses. It is found that in the population under our survey, 55 per cent students from the lower economic
group (income less than ₹15000 p.m) are studying in B.A. honours course whereas only 14 per cent student from the same economic bracket have opted for graduation with honours in science subjects. Likewise, in the highest economic group (income higher than ₹50000 p.m), 33 per cent student are from B.A. with honours and 57 per cent are studying in B.Sc honours courses.

| Statement 4.2: Distribution of Students in Different Income Group \& Academic Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category | Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { B A } \\ \text { (GEN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B A } \\ \text { (HONS) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B Sc } \\ \text { (GEN) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B Sc } \\ \text { (HONS) } \end{gathered}$ | B Com (GEN) | B Com (HONS) | M A/ <br> M Sc | Total |
| Up to ₹7500 | 204 | 429 | 7 | 116 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 779 |
| ₹7501- ₹15000 | 157 | 291 | 16 | 131 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 630 |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 46 | 130 | 7 | 104 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 301 |
| ₹ 25001 -₹50000 | 16 | 76 | 4 | 109 |  | 10 | 2 | 217 |
| More than ₹50000 | 4 | 54 | 4 | 93 |  | 4 | 2 | 161 |
| Total | 427 | 980 | 38 | 553 | 12 | 69 | 9 | 2088 |

### 4.3 Online Classes

4.3.1.1 Due to the catastrophic situation generated by COVID-19 and adoption of national "lockdown" of different phases as the preventive strategy, teaching and learning through physical classroom is not at all approaching fast in the country. It seems quite clearly that in course of gradual unlocking of the state, educational institutions perhaps would be the last public place to be unlocked. Amidst this situation almost all institutions of higher education have gone for some kind of online classes despite huge unresolved problems and debates. The Union Ministry for Human Resource Development, the UGC as well as the Higher Education Department of the State Government, all together, have emphasized on organizing regular online class to complete the syllabus. However, regarding the method and platform, no uniform decision has been issued yet. Thus, it is found that since the beginning of April 2020 almost all colleges have opted for online class following methods most suitable for the students.
4.3.1.2 In this section we present the response of the students combining two questions. One is whether their departments have organized online classes and the next one is whether they have participated in those when conducted.

### 4.3.2 Arrangement of Online Class:

4.3.2.1 Statement 4.3 depicts the prevailing pattern of online class organized by the colleges. Quite laudably, 100 per cent respondents of Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya, North 24 Parganas, opined that the college have arranged online class in this course of time. Students of Women's Christian College, Kolkata and Bijoy Krishna Girls' College, Howrah followed closely with $95 \%$ \& $93 \%$ of its respondent respectively. Very interestingly, positive response by the students are distinctly higher in the district colleges of North 24 Parganas and Howrah than the colleges located at the heart of the metropolitan city of Kolkata (except one) and all the colleges which topped the list are exclusively girls' college.
4.3.2.2 However, we have to remember here that online class is not any easy going activity and as the lockdown of 2020 set off quite suddenly, without adequate time for preparation, many institutions and students were unable to reap the fruit.

| Statement 4.3: Response on arrangement of On-Line Class by the colleges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Name of the College | No | Yes | Total <br> Respondent | \% of No | \% of Yes |  |
| Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya | 0 | 230 | 230 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |
| Bijoy Krishna Girls' College | 79 | 1016 | 1095 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ |  |
| Maulana Azad College | 105 | 294 | 399 | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ |  |
| St. Pauls C M College | 48 | 202 | 250 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |  |
| Women's Christian College | 5 | 90 | 95 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |  |
| Other College | 8 | 11 | 19 | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |  |
| Total | 245 | 1843 | 2088 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |  |

### 4.3.3 Participation in Online Class:

4.3.3.1 Statement 4.3 above has reflected the unpreparedness of some of the departments of the colleges covered. In this statement (4.4) we present the response of the students on the next one, i.e. whether they have participated in those when conducted.
4.3.3.2 The interesting fact deciphered from Statement 4.4 is that wherever online classes were organized, most students have participated rather than abstaining from it. Thus, we find that the number of participating respondents is much higher than the nonparticipant ones. In cases where online
classes were conducted, 1749 students participated while 94 only remained out. However the reasons for participation and non-participation may be various and those demands separate analysis involving socioeconomic and psychological perspectives. But for the time being, it is not within the purview of the present survey scheme. Similarly the willingness and affordability of the students are also not explored here in 245 cases where the departments were not able to conduct such online class.

| Statement 4.4: <br>  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Relation between Online Class |  |  |  |
| College organizing <br> Online Class | Participation of Students |  |  |



Figure 4.2: Proportion of Students attending online classes where it was arranged

### 4.3.4 Non-participation and Income Group:

4.3.4.1 A fascinating factor about Statement 4.5 is that non-participation in online classes is not highest in the lowest economic category as anticipated earlier. It is actually highest (about one fourth among the total students within that categories) in the higher income category, i.e., among respondents whose monthly income ranges between ₹. 25000 to ₹. 50000 and more than ₹.50000. In comparatively lower income group 12-18 per cent student were out of the online classes.

| Statement 4.5: Non participation of Online classes by students of different income |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| categories |  |  |  |$\left.| \begin{array}{l}\text { Not attending online class }\end{array}\right]$

### 4.4 Devices for Online Classes

4.4.1.1 Access to a proper device with adequate connectivity facility is the key factor for participation in online classes. In our country, as economic stratification is extremely steep, very often digital facility remains behind the reach of the people simply for economic reasons.

### 4.4.2 Availability of Devices:

4.4.2.1 We have asked the students regarding the devices they availed while participating in online classes. As access to digital world is always subject to economic variables, we have analyzed the nature of device used by the students, in relation to their economic category as manifested through the monthly income of the household in this survey. In the questionnaire we have allowed them to select all kind of devices, those they have availed and have not limited their choice. Statement 4.6a and 4.6b will thus give an idea about their access to multiple kinds of digital device.

| Statement 4.6b: Availability of Devices among the students (N=1749) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Device used | No. of students | \% of students |
| Desktop Computer | 33 | 2 |
| Laptop | 79 | 5 |
| Mobile Phone (smart phone) | 1735 | 99 |
| Tablet | 16 | 1 |

4.4.2.2 It is a revealing fact that for $99 \%$ students, who attended online classes, Smartphone was a device. But for $94 \%$ students, Smartphone was the only device they used to follow the online classes. In terms of individual possession of device, position of the tablet and desktop is the least.


Figure 4.3: Proportion of Students not attending Online Classes over different Income Groups

| Statement 4.6b: Availability of Devices among the students ( $\mathrm{N}=1749$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of devices available and its Details |  | No. of students | $\%$ of students |
| 1 device | Desktop Computer | 3 | 0.17 |
|  | Laptop | 8 | 0.46 |
|  | Tablet | 3 | 0.17 |
|  | Mobile Phone (smart phone) | 1640 | 93.77 |
| 2 devices | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Desktop Computer | 20 | 1.14 |
|  | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Tablet | 4 | 0.23 |
|  | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Laptop | 56 | 3.20 |
| 3 devices | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Laptop, Desktop Computer | 6 | 0.34 |
|  | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Tablet, Laptop | 6 | 0.34 |
| 4 devices | Mobile Phone (smart phone), Tablet, Laptop, Desktop Computer | 3 | 0.17 |

### 4.4.3 Devices Used across Income Group:

### 4.4.3.1 Students from poorest class among the surveyed households, 99

 per cent used Smartphone, which was the only available device with them to attend online classes. Whereas it is more than one third in the highest income group, with all the devices to attend the same. Quite expectedly lower the income category, use of phone is more, as it is now an essential device for the Indian population to maintain their regular socioeconomic activities. Craving for Smartphone is perhaps most among the lower income group compared to other digital gadgets. Correspondingly, access to laptop/desktop/tablet increases as we move to the higher income groups.| Statement 4.7: Income Distribution and Device Accessed by the Students |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category (per month) | Device Accessed |  |  |  |
|  | Only Smart Phone | Smart Phone \& Laptop/Desktop/Tablet | Laptop/Desktop/ Tablet | Any |
| Up to 7500 | 683 | 6 |  | 689 |
| 7501-15000 | 510 | 12 | 4 | 526 |
| 15001-25000 | 223 | 22 | 3 | 248 |
| 25001-50000 | 144 | 17 | 4 | 165 |
| More than 50000 | 80 | 38 | 3 | 121 |
| Total | 1640 | 95 | 14 | 1749 |

### 4.5 Acceptability of Online Classes

4.5.1.1 In the following section we have tried to make a satisfaction survey of the respondents regarding online classes to have a clear picture of acceptability of the same. The survey has attempted to evaluate the satisfaction level by framing the question in a five point Likert scale format; ranging from "not satisfied" to "very much satisfied" range.

### 4.5.2 Feeling about Online Classes:

4.5.2.1 The feeling of satisfaction about online classes among the participants of the same and among the total respondents, are considered here.

| Statement 4.8: Satisfaction Level of the Respondents for On-Line Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Satisfaction Level |  |  |  |  | Number of students |  |  |
| Code 1 <br> Not <br> satisfied | Code 2 | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very <br> much <br> satisfied | Attended | Not <br> Attended | all |
| 163 | 194 | 559 | 397 | 436 | 1749 | 339 | 2088 |
| $7.8 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $83.8 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | 100 |
| $9.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | 100 | - | - |

4.5.2.2 Interestingly, from the above Statement, it appears that 163 respondents ( 9.3 percent) only out of 1749 participants were not satisfied at all. Contrary to this, 436 student respondents ( $25 \%$ ) were satisfied very much and 397 respondents ( $23 \%$ ) were satisfied to some extent with the ongoing process of learning. It can thus be derived that about 50 per cent of those who have participated in the online classes were satisfied more or less. Whereas, 339 respondents ( 16 per cent) out of a total of 2088, did not took part in this activity.

### 4.5.3 Acceptability of Online Classes across course type:

4.5.3.1 Statement 4.9a states that $52 \%$ of the students continuing graduation in Arts are overall satisfied through the process of online class they are going through. In the contrary, they are only $41 \%$ among the students who are pursuing graduation in Science, may be due to non accessibility of practical classes. $43 \%$ of the Masters level students are not satisfied to certain extent and the proportion is same in case of feeling indifferent. This perhaps indicates the acceptability of the online class by them in a negative mode. Whereas, majority
of the students pursuing graduation in Commerce, are indifferent (43\%) on their feeling about online class and $15 \%$ are feeling satisfied to some extent.

| Statement 4.9a : Distribution of Satisfaction level for online class on type of the course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Code 1 <br> Not <br> satisfied | Code 2 | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very much <br> satisfied | All |
| B A | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| B Sc | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| B Com | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Masters | $14 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Figure 4.4: Satisfaction Level across Courses
4.5.3.2 As per the spread of syllabus is concerned, Honours students are expected to study in depth rather than general. Statement 4.9b reveals that honours level students, among them who are pursuing graduation, are less satisfied through this imposed process of learning. The most fascinating fact is that nobody in the post graduation level is satisfied very much in adopting online class, as they require most other facilities of the institution rather than only completion of the syllabus.

| Statement 4.9b : Distribution of Satisfaction level for online class and level of course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Course <br> attending | Code 1 <br> Not <br> satisfied | Code 2 | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very much <br> satisfied | All |
| General | $9.62 \%$ | $9.37 \%$ | $26.08 \%$ | $21.01 \%$ | $33.92 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Honours | $9.21 \%$ | $11.51 \%$ | $33.63 \%$ | $23.24 \%$ | $22.42 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| Post Graduate | $14.29 \%$ | $28.57 \%$ | $42.86 \%$ | $14.29 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |

### 4.6 Problems felt regarding Online Classes

4.6.1.1 In evaluating the situation of the students vis-a-vis the online class scheme, we have asked the respondents to point out the problems they have faced most, in this scheme. We have provided them with six fixed alternative causes and there was also an option to refer to other causes with explanation. Among the other, some very important issues are as follows as mentioned by the students:

1. During online classes there is no direct interaction occurs between teacher and student which makes the topic difficult to understand
2. It is difficult to understand the topic in Online class
3. There is problem to accomplish class work
4. Practical works are difficult to understand (mentioned by a student of Geography Honours)
5. Eye problems

### 4.6.2 Problems across Income Group:

4.6.2.1 In Statement 4.10, different kind of problems involved in the online classes is analyzed with reference to the economic category of the respondents, as revealed through the monthly income of the family. However it appears across economic divide that weak internet connectivity is the most prevalent impediment towards online class. Almost 78 percent of the respondents have complained on it. Lack of monetary requisite to buy a data card is the next important impediment towards online class for the respondents, with 14 per cent in this bracket. In this context we have to mention that respondents here were allowed to refer to more than one problem that they are facing in the online class. This detrimental economic effect of COVID 19 is expressed in this
aspect where the respondent could not cater to his/her need for buying a small data card even. The students in the income groups up to Rs. 15000 are quite severely affected by the lack of money for buying data card. In the comparatively higher income category this problem also exists, though, in a marginal form. Lack of self motivation, difficulty in operating the application and digital illiteracy are the problems faced for online class for $9 \%, 8 \%$ and $6 \%$ of respondent group. Interestingly, in the higher income group, problem for time management was more evident. In the highest two income band it is 8 per cent for each. Whereas in the lower income band it is 4 to 5 per cent. A plausible interpretation of this finding may lie on the fact that in the higher income groups, domestic helps take a lot of load for the household chores. But during the lockdown period, to maintain social distancing the domestic helps could not work. So the burden of the household chores fully went to the respondents. As against this, in the lower income categories, the respondents very often on their own perform all household chores. So, time management in domestic sector is not an issue for them.
4.6.2.2 The positive sign as revealed from the data of Statement 4.9 and 4.10 is that, if some arrangement for improved and cheaper connectivity could be arranged for, online class can be popularized more as the students across income group is interested towards it.

| Statement 4.10: Problem of Online Class across Income Group ( $\mathrm{N}=1599$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category (per month) | Problems in Online Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Weak Internet Connection | No Money to Buy Data Card | Lack of SelfMotivation | Difficulty in Operating | Lack of Digital Literacy | Problem in Timemanagement | Other |
| Total | 1248 | 229 | 141 | 130 | 90 | 82 | 129 |
| Percentage | 78\% | 14\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% |
| Up to ₹7500 | 75\% | 22\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| ₹7501- ₹15000 | 80\% | 15\% | 9\% | 7\% | 7\% | 5\% | 8\% |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 81\% | 4\% | 9\% | 10\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| ₹ 25001 -₹50000 | 76\% | 2\% | 16\% | 13\% | 6\% | 8\% | 13\% |
| More than ₹50000 | 87\% | 1\% | 12\% | 15\% | 3\% | 8\% | 5\% |



Figure 4.5: Income Group wise Problems of Online Classes

### 4.6.3 Problems felt in different level of education:

4.6.3.1 Keeping in mind the difference of feeling about the online class across the level of education (Statements 4.9a and 4.9b), it is evident to draw a picture on the problems faced by them in this process.
4.6.3.2 The Statement 4.11 below has attempted to categorize the problems related to the online class according to the level attended by the respondents.

| Statement 4.11: Relation between Educational Level \& Problems in Online Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Problems in Online Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending Level | Weak <br> internet connectivity | No money to buy data card | Lack of selfmotivation | Difficulty in operating applications | Lack of digital literacy | Problem in timemanagement | Other |
| Honours | 79\% | 14\% | 10\% | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| General | 74\% | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 10\% |
| Post <br> Graduate | 75\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

4.6.3.3 It is found that poor internet connectivity as the major problem is reported most by the honours level students. For the problem 'No money to buy data card', the highest proportion is from General Course. However, though there exist some difference, but it is not very significant.

### 4.7 Anxiety of Students about Uncertainty on Completion of the Course

4.7.1.1 In the last segment related to educational issues, we have tried to locate the amount of fear and insecurity among the student respondents regarding completion of the courses they are pursuing at present. In order to assess their level of worry, again we have used a five point Likert's scale, where point 1 denotes 'not so worried' and point 5 denotes 'very worried' regarding the completion of their respective courses.

### 4.7.2 Anxiety among participants as well as non-participants of Online Classes:

4.7.2.1 It is found in general from Statement 4.12 that a deep level of worry exists among the students regarding the completion of their academic courses on time. As high as three fourth of the total students expressed their worry regarding timely completion of the courses they are currently pursuing. There is no much difference in percentage in the level of worry between the students who attended online classes and those who did not. Only exception is the $5^{\text {th }}$
worry level or tremendous worry level, where percentage difference between two groups is as high as 10.

| Statement 4.12: Percentage of Students with respect to Participation in Online Class \& Worry of Course Completion |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tending | Worry Level |  |  |  |  |
| online class | Code 1 <br> Not so worried | Code 2 | Code 3 Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very worried |
| Yes | 6\% | 5\% | 16\% | 21\% | 52\% |
| No | 7\% | 4\% | 12\% | 16\% | 62\% |
| All | 128 | 95 | 316 | 422 | 1127 |
| Percentage | 6\% | 5\% | 15\% | 20\% | 54\% |

### 4.7.3 Worry Level and Course Type:

4.7.3.1 While analyzing the worry level among the respondents it is important to know little more on the issue. Statement 4.13 exhibits that worry level is maximum ( $78 \%$ with code 5 , 'very worried') among the post-graduate level of respondents, though participation of this group is extremely low in the population under survey. Actually the survey is focussed on the UG colleges and all these colleges do not have PG studies even and where ever it is available, very few students are enrolled. However, the worry of this group is easily understandable as they are about to end their student life and on the door of entering professional world, where COVID-19 put a big uncertainty. Extreme worry level hit the students from the general course next with a proportion of about $59 \%$, as this group also expects to complete graduation in search of some stability in life through other ventures.

\left.| Statement 4.13: Relation between Course Type \& Worry Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| regarding Course Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\right]$

### 4.7.4 Worry level and Income category:

4.7.4.1 Statement 4.14 illustrates the relation between the income category as expressed by the respondents in terms of monthly income of the family and the worry level regarding completion of the course they are pursuing at present. Quite evidently, it appears that the level of worry is maximum in the
lowest income band as this section is maximum hit by the brunt of COVID-19. Tremendous worry is prevalent among 60 percent of the respondents of this group. At the same time this worry level decreases to a considerable extent as we move up in the economic ladder. In the highest income band under survey, it is just above one-third (36\%) of the population under study. Perhaps their comparative secured life has made them less worried. As opposed to this only 6 per cent to 7 per cent respondents are free from worry. It is clearly revealed through this statement again that the effect of COVID-19 is most severe on life of the individuals with respect to economy.

| Statement 4.14: Relation between Income Level and Worry Level |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category (per month) | Worry Level |  |  |  |  |
|  | Code 1 <br> Not so worried | Code 2 | Code 3 Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very worried |
| Up to ₹7500 | 6\% | 3\% | 14\% | 17\% | 60\% |
| ₹ 7501 - ₹15000 | 6\% | 4\% | 13\% | 21\% | 56\% |
| ₹15001-₹25000 | 6\% | 7\% | 15\% | 22\% | 51\% |
| ₹ 25001 -₹50000 | 8\% | 6\% | 20\% | 21\% | 44\% |
| More than ₹50000 | 7\% | 6\% | 25\% | 25\% | 36\% |

### 4.7.5 Worry Level across Financial Crisis status:

### 4.7.5.1 Worry level among students belonging to households with financial

 crisis: It may be called that in Chapter 3 (section...) we discussed about the problem of employment resultant financial crisis. Here the worry level of students belonging to households facing financial crisis are compared with the complementary group. Statement 4.15 shows the percentages of students in different worry level vis-a-vis the financial crisis level.| Statement 4.15: Percentages of students in different worry level vis-a-vis the |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| financial crisis status. |  |  |  |  |  |

4.7.5.2 It is clearly evident, and it is expected also that 82\% students who belong to households that are facing financial crisis are worried; it is 16 percentage points higher than the opposite group when we look into the class of very worried. In this group only 4\% are not so worried.

## Survey Analysis: Health Issues amid lockdown

### 5.1 General

5.1.1.1 In the healthcare system, given its limited resources, prioritises are given to COVID-19 cases. Living with a health condition that requires instant or regular medical care, say many who have been struggling to get their chemotherapy sessions, or dialysis, or even a diagnosis of a life-threatening ailment amid the nationwide lockdown, many are not getting proper medical attention. Whether one is rich or poor seems to matter little in the face of the COVID-19 outbreak, as hospitals - both State-run and private - reel under staff crunch and lack capacity to tackle the contagion as well as other chronic and common ailments. The patients, on the other hand, are grappling with an endless wait for medical intervention while living with the fear of contacting the virus during procedures at hospitals.

### 5.1.1.2 As Covid-19 gripped the nation with around 4 lakhs confirmed cases

 as of $20^{\text {th }}$ June, the lockdown which was initiated on $25^{\text {th }}$ March 2020 and then extended till $30^{\text {th }}$ June has negatively impacted people's access to essential health care services, especially for the elderly and chronically ill populace. With chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) like cardiovascular ailments, cancer, diabetes, chronic respiratory ailments and other NCDs accounting for 63 per cent of the total deaths in India, the threat they pose to households and the healthcare system in non-pandemic times is outrageous. Our estimates from the most recent National Sample Survey (NSS) $75^{\text {th }}$ round (2017-18) data show that around 8.6 per cent of the population are aged 60 years and above and approximately 3.7 per cent of the Indian population are chronically ill; with Kerala having the highest share of chronically ill population ( 18.2 per cent), followed by Andhra Pradesh (10.4 per cent) and West Bengal (9.0 per cent).5.1.1.3 With a scarcity of population-based data, one has to rely on sample surveys like NSS and National Family Health Survey (NFHS 4: 2015-16) to get estimated prevalence of chronic ailments in India. Our estimates on the incidence of selected chronic ailments from NFHS-4 data shows that 4-4.4 per
cent of men and women aged below their mid-50s suffer from any three chronic diseases (viz. diabetes, heart disease, asthma). In a similar fashion, estimates from NSS $75^{\text {th }}$ round data reveals that for people aged above 50 , the rate is as high as 11.6 per cent for India with Kerala having the highest burden, followed by Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Punjab, Maharashtra, Gujarat and Tamil Nadu. Estimates from both the data sources further suggest that prevalence of cancer is not very low in India. States such as Tamil Nadu, Jharkhand and Madhya Pradesh dominates in prevalence rates of cancer for the men aged below mid50s, while Bihar, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka have higher prevalence rates of cancer for women aged below 50s. For people aged 50 years and above, Kerala, West Bengal, Uttarakhand, Haryana, Punjab and Rajasthan exhibit cancer prevalence rate higher than the national average. These figures clearly portray the enormous section of Indian population in need of regular healthcare. Unprecedented lockdown and its eventual repetition to arrest the spread of Covid-19 have blatantly deprived this section from availing regular healthcare services such as chemotherapy, dialysis, blood transfusions or even life-saving drugs. The brunt is fuelled from multiple shortfalls in anticipation and planning.

### 5.1.1.4 The secondary and tertiary hospitals primarily in charge of

 providing chronic healthcare services are now clogged with Covid-19 patients. Credible prints and online news portals reported night shelters near AlIMS swarmed with unattended cancer patients for days. The closure of all OPDs and speciality services in AlIMS from March 24 onwards has left them stranded without either treatment or food. According to a senior oncologist at Mumbaibased Tata Memorial Hospital, there has also been a sudden dip in inter-state inflow of patients. Although follow-ups are being done telephonically and via online, many people do not have the know-how or access to those means. The situation is equally grim for patients requiring dialysis as they are facing similar problems due to mobility restrictions and non-availability of public transport services. The dialysis centres are struggling with staff crunches and irregular supplies of essential utilities such as dialyser, needles and anticoagulants. Besides, there are several reports that HIV patients are unable to reach local ART centres for medicines. Missing regular doses would probably bring down their immunity and make them more susceptible to get co-morbidities like TB. Lifesaving drugs and essential diagnostics are far-fetched as laboratories are also not operational in the lockdown.
### 5.2 Morbidity status of Students' Households

5.2.1.1 Self-reported prevalence of chronic disease for any member of the students' household is considered as the indicator of morbidity of that particular unit. There are some households with more than one member having one or more chronic disease(s) whereas some households are with one or (more than one) member with multiple chronic diseases.

### 5.2.2 Overall Morbidity:

5.2.2.1 Statement 5.1 depicts the picture of the morbidity status among respondents' households as well as number of persons for whom the status is reported upon. It is evident as well as alarming that more than $30 \%$ of the students' households have some or the other sign of chronic morbidity. If we penetrate further, one out of ten individuals is suffering from some chronic disease.

| Statement 5.1: Number and proportion of households as well as household members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| according to morbidity status |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.2.3 Distribution as per number of chronic diseases:

5.2.3.1 Statement 5.2 depicts that $22 \%$ households are dealing with two chronic diseases and around 7\% with more than two. It is however, reported by 121 households having more than one member with one or more than one type of disease.

| Statement 5.2: Distribution of households with number of Chronic Disease(s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of disease(s) | No. of households | Proportion of households |
| 1 | 452 | 70.63 |
| 2 | 144 | 22.50 |
| 3 | 32 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 11 | 1.72 |
| 5 | 1 | 0.16 |
| All | $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |

5.2.3.2 Irrespective of the size, in $30 \%$ of the households, more than one member has some chronic disease although mostly (70\%) there is only one member with chronic disease in these 640 households.

### 5.2.4 District wise morbidity:

5.2.4.1 Statement 5.3 shows the district profile of incidence of chronic disease for the respondents' household. It reveals that among the three districts, having proportion households with any chronic disease, more than the overall morbidity (30.7\%), 24 Parganas (South) has the highest burden (38\%), followed by 24 Parganas (North) and Hooghly. The same is presented in the figure below.


Figure 5.1: District wise incidence of chronic disease
5.2.4.2 As far as the proportion of households with more than chronic ailment is concerned, 24 Parganas (North) topped the list, followed by Kolkata (36\%) and 24 Parganas (South). Here also, these three districts along with Hooghly (29.7\%) are having the proportion more than average (29.4\%).

| Statement 5.3: District wise distribution of households by morbidity status with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Chronic disease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.2.5 Type of chronic disease:

5.2.5.1 As the record is based on self-reporting mode, it is possible only to count the reported disease. Statement 5.4 is prepared on the basis of different types of chronic ailment derived from the report. Apart from the diseases stated in this list from 'Diabetes' to 'Kidney related', rest of the ailments are consolidated in "other".

| Statement 5.4: Distribution and proportion of households according to the reported chronic ailment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Disease | Number of households having at least one member suffering from the disease | $\%$ of households with respect to households with chronic disease ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cD}}=640$ ) | \% of households with respect to all households $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=2088 \text { ) }$ |
| Diabetes | 235 | 36.72 | 11.25 |
| Hypertension | 130 | 20.31 | 6.23 |
| Heart related | 79 | 12.34 | 3.78 |
| Pain or ache | 70 | 10.94 | 3.35 |
| Lungs related | 53 | 8.28 | 2.54 |
| Hyper or hypo Thyroid | 44 | 6.88 | 2.11 |
| Gastric trouble | 25 | 3.91 | 1.20 |
| Kidney related | 14 | 2.19 | 0.67 |
| Other | 235 | 36.72 | 11.25 |
| A household can have one person and/or more than one person suffering with one or more CD. Hence total for column 2 turns out to be more than 640. |  |  |  |

5.2.5.2 As a single type of disease, diabetes is found to be the major (11\%) contributor of sufferings to the total surveyed households, followed by Hypertension (6\%). Heart disease, chronic pain, disease of lungs and thyroid are the other alarming factors in a declining order.

### 5.3 Morbidity and Hospitalisation

5.3.1.1 In this section we would like to portray more about ailing scenario (other than chronic disease), whether doctor was consulted and if not what are the reasons behind, extent of hospitalisation and problem related hospitalisation. Statement 5.5 depicts the aforesaid characteristics of households (in percentage distribution) for all districts.

### 5.3.2 District wise distribution:

5.3.2.1 Statement 5.5 clearly indicates about $10 \%$ households had at least one ailing person during this lockdown period. In different districts, this percentage varies from $7 \%$ to $16 \%$. For about $80 \%$ of them, doctors were consulted. At the same time, among the ailing, $2 \%$ needed hospitalisation. Hospitalisation was not easy for about $40 \%$ of the cases. For Kolkata, it records highest cases of challenging issues for hospitalisation.

Statement 5.5: Percentage of households according to morbidity status, and hospitalisation in different districts

| Districts | \% of households with |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no ailing person | ailing person | doctor being consulted if ailing |  | requiring hospitalisation among household having ailing persons | whether problem faced for hospitalisation |  |
|  |  |  | yes | no |  | yes | no |
| Kolkata | 88 | 12 | 79 | 21 | 2 | 67 | 33 |
| 24 Parganas <br> (N) | 91 | 9 | 80 | 20 | 3 | 27 | 73 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 84 | 16 | 83 | 17 | 2 | 50 | 50 |
| Howrah | 93 | 7 | 86 | 14 | 2 | 44 | 56 |
| Hooghly | 93 | 7 | 82 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| Other Districts | 88 | 12 | 60 | 40 | 2 | 50 | 50 |
| Other states | 79 | 21 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 100 |
| All | 91 | 9 | 81 | 19 | 2 | 40 | 60 |

5.3.2.2 5.3.1.1 Though there was less representation from other districts, the proportion ailing who did not or could not consult doctor, is lowest among them. Unfortunately, Kolkata is in the top as a separate district in this regard.

### 5.3.3 Ailment and reason if untreated:

5.3.3.1 Statement 5.6 below shows similar distribution with respect to different income category, with the following typical problem faced for consulting doctor.
i. Ailment not considered serious - R1
ii. Doctor Clinics were closed nearby, moreover no vehicles was available to go beyond - R2
iii. Financial constraint - R3
iv. Other - R4

| Statement 5.6: Percentage of households according to morbidity status, and doctor consultation with problems encountered for that among income categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Categories | \% of households having |  |  | doctor consulted if ailing |  | if no doctor was not consulted, the reasons |  |  |  |
|  | no ailing person | ailing person | requiring hospital-isation among ailing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | yes | no | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 |
| Upto 7500 | 92 | 8 | 2 | 80 | 20 | 39 | 38 | 15 | 8 |
| 7501-15000 | 90 | 10 | 2 | 76 | 24 | 51 | 25 | 13 | 13 |
| 15001-25000 | 92 | 8 | 2 | 88 | 12 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| 25001-50000 | 91 | 9 | 2 | 85 | 15 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 50000 | 91 | 9 | 1 | 93 | 7 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 91 | 9 | 2 | 81 | 19 | 44 | 36 | 11 | 8 |

### 5.3.3.2 The statement above clearly states the fact that percentage of

 household who have consulted doctors, when someone is ailing, varies from $76 \%$ to $93 \%$, the least belongs to lower economic echelon, whereas highest fits in to the richest among the respondent's households. Moreover, the reason R3 (Financial Constraint) is applicable to lower two economic groups only. Other than upper two economic categories, the most frequent reason for not consulting doctor was "not considering the ailment serious". However, the richer group was willing to consult a doctor but due to "Doctor Clinics were closed nearby, moreover no vehicles was available to go beyond", they could not get it done.
### 5.4 Requirement of Medicine

5.4.1.1 As it is already stated that during lockdown there was substantial problem faced by the households on procurement of medicine. In this section we would try to analyse the data whether the respondent's households have experienced some problem or not. Two questions were asked, whether they need medicine in the reference period and status of availability, if required,

### 5.4.2 District wise distribution:

5.4.2.1 Statement 5.7 shows the number and percentages of households requiring medicines during lock down.

| Statement 5.7: Requirement of Medicine by the households during lock down |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Districts | Yes |  | No | Total |
| \% of HHDs requiring medicines |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 352 | 82 | 434 | 81 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 92 | 20 | 112 | 82 |
| Hooghly | 197 | 33 | 230 | 86 |
| Howrah | 772 | 162 | 934 | 83 |
| Kolkata | 208 | 35 | 243 | 86 |
| Other Dist. | 88 | 33 | 121 | 73 |
| Other State | 12 | 2 | 14 | 86 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |

5.4.2.2 Statement 5.7 shows that irrespective of presence of chronic disease patients or not, around $82 \%$ households needed medicine amid lockdown; in different districts this percentage is quite similar ( 81 to 86 per cent). Medicine shops were mostly open as provider of emergency good, and scene of people queuing for maintaining social distancing to procure those was a common feature in front of every medicine shop.

### 5.4.3 Status of Procuring Medicines:

5.4.3.1 Here we have collected some information whether the households who are in need of medicine could procure it without much hassle or not. Statement 5.8 shows the status of obtaining medicines.

| Statement 5.8: Status of obtaining medicines by households with or without chronic <br> diseases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status of household on obtaining medicines | Number and \% of households |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | all HHDs | \% | HHD <br> with CD | \% |  |  |
| Medicine was available at home (previously stocked) | 212 | 12.3 | 62 | 9.7 |  |  |
| available in medicine shop and could be purchased <br> as and when required | 1255 | 72.9 | 439 | 68.6 |  |  |
| Required ordering and made available within a week | 138 | 8.0 | 74 | 11.6 |  |  |
| Ordered through online | 43 | 2.5 | 13 | 2.0 |  |  |
| Faced tremendous problem | 68 | 4.0 | 47 | 7.3 |  |  |
| Other | 5 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.8 |  |  |
| All | $\mathbf{1 7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |

5.4.3.2 While presenting, we have separated out the households with chronic diseases. In $10 \%$ (HHDs with CD) to $12 \%$ households intimated that they had some stock of medicines, which is quite usual for some HHDs with chronic diseases. Excluding those $10 \%$ households, around $70 \%$ could procure medicines from medicine shops, while rest confronted various types of problem, including deferred supply, online ordering and even rushing to the vicinity of big hospitals to purchase required medicine.


Figure 5.2: Requirement of medicine across income category (in percentage)

### 5.4.3.3 The above figure dictates that there is a clear difference among the

 households across economic divide as far as the requirement of medicine is concerned. In the lowest income category, the proportion of households in need of medicine during this period is lowest (77\%). It is worth mentioning that thepoorest group shows least percentage of procuring medicines online, whereas among the richest it is highest.

### 5.5 Movements (outward and inward) among Households

5.5.1.1 In this lockdown scenario, it is meant to be inside the household as far possible and not to move outside for flimsy reason. Moreover other nonhousehold members including maids/ other service sector personnel also are not supposed to visit. To know the extent of this practice, we enquired four related questions like (i) how many times the student moved outside on an average in a week, (ii) reason for moving outside if the reply on first is other than 'never' and possible reason may be more than one, (iii) how many times other household members went outside to collect eatables (iv) how many times nonhousehold members entered the house. Statement 5.9a, 5.9b and 5.9c shows some tabular representation of the related data.

### 5.5.2 Students moved outside and reason behind:

5.5.2. It is to be kept in mind that among these respondent we have a female ( $83 \%$ female over $17 \%$ male) bias. However, it is little less than half of the students who did not move outside (Statement 5.9a) from home and among them more than $90 \%$ are female.

| Statement 5.9a : Number of times Students went outside and reasons thereof |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency per week | Number of students | Reason of movement, if went out ( $\mathrm{N}=1070$ ) |  |  |  |  | Proportion |
|  |  | Emergency Medical Reason | Purchasing daily needs | For some relief work to poor people | Other | Total |  |
| Never | 1018 |  |  |  |  |  | 49\% |
| Once | 551 | 6\% | 50\% | 1\% | 34\% | 100\% | 26\% |
| Twice | 338 | 19\% | 65\% | 3\% | 13\% | 100\% | 16\% |
| 3-5 times | 129 | 22\% | 60\% | 4\% | 14\% | 100\% | 6\% |
| More than 5 times | 52 | 30\% | 32\% | 4\% | 35\% | 100\% | 3\% |
| Total | 2088 | 19\% | 56\% | 2\% | 26\% | 100\% | 100\% |

5.5.2.2 At the same time it is worth mentioning that 2\% (among those went outside) participated in 'Relief work'. But the most common reason for moving outside is 'Purchasing daily needs'. There is substantial percentage who reported 'Other'. In this section (i) fetching water from outside, (ii) attending
final rites of deceased household members, (iii) going to friend's house etc. are included.

### 5.5.3 Movement for collection of food item:

5.5.3.1 Statement 5.9b, as well as the figure below, shows frequency of other household member going out for purchasing food items. The proportions are shown in each district. Not much difference is noticed in inter-district comparison of this trend. Majority of the households across districts (varies from $47 \%$ to $54 \%$ ) went outside 2-5 times for collecting food items. Kolkata is found to be the only district, where as large as, in $18 \%$ of the households, somebody went outside almost daily to collect food items.


Figure 5.3: Percentage distribution of households by number of times any meber going outside for collecton of food item in diffrent districts

Statement 5.9b: Distribution of households by number of times any household member going out for collecting food item in different districts

| Districts | Frequency of movement (in percentage) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | never | once | $\mathbf{2 - 5}$ times | more than 5 | Total |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 17 | 155 | 220 | 42 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 4 | 34 | 61 | 13 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 5 | 88 | 109 | 28 | 230 |
| Howrah | 37 | 303 | 491 | 103 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 5 | 77 | 117 | 44 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 3 | 33 | 67 | 18 | 121 |
| Other State | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 14 |
| Total | 72 | 693 | 1074 | 249 | 2088 |

### 5.5.4 Moving outward and inward:

5.5.4.1 Statement 5.9c, below shows incidence of other household member going out for purchasing food items as well as the frequency of nonhousehold member entering into the house. Only $3 \%$ households never went out to buy food whereas $12 \%$ went more than 5 times. Rest $85 \%$ went once to five times to purchase or collect food items.

| Statement 5.9c Number of times household member goes out for purchasing food items and non-household member enters house in a week |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of times in a week | HHD where HHD member moving out for procuring food item |  | HHDs where non-HHD member entered |  |
|  | number | percentage | number | percentage |
| never | 72 | 3 | 1740 | 83 |
| once | 693 | 33 | 150 | 7 |
| 2-5 times | 1074 | 51 | 131 | 6 |
| more than 5 | 249 | 12 | 67 | 3 |
| All | 2088 |  | 2088 |  |

5.5.4.2 Statement 5.9c, at the same time shows frequency of nonhousehold member entering into the house. Only in $17 \%$ households, nonhousehold member (including maids) entered (once or more) inside whereas in $83 \%$ households none entered.

### 5.6 Arogya Setu App

5.6.1.1 To know the awareness of the students regarding 'Arogya Setu', which is an Indian open source COVID 19 Contact Tracing, Syndromic Mapping and Self Assessment digital service. It can be installed in any mobile phone (Smartphone or keypad). The following Statement 5.10 shows the number of students installed this 'Arogya Setu' app in their mobile phones.

| Statement 5.10: number and proportion of students <br> installing Arogya Setu App in different districts |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| District | Number of students installing App |  |  |  |
|  | Yes | No | Total | Yes \% |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 131 | 303 | 434 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 43 | 69 | 112 | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ |
| Hooghly | 73 | 157 | 230 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Howrah | 299 | 635 | 934 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Kolkata | 82 | 161 | 243 | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ |
| Other Dist. | 54 | 67 | 121 | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ |
| Other State | 8 | 6 | 14 | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ |
| All | $\mathbf{6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ |

5.6.1.2 Only one third of the respondent students have installed this app on or before the day they have taken the survey (during $15^{\text {th }}$ May to $30^{\text {th }}$ May). Within the districts it varies from 30 \% in 24 Parganas (North) to $38 \%$ in 24 Parganas (South); percentages lie within this range for Kolkata, Howrah and Hooghly.

### 5.7 Positive COVID-19 cases in vicinity:

5.7.1.1 To enquire about this particular issue there was one question whether there was any positive Corona case in the vicinity of the respondent. Here vicinity referred to within one km of radius. Statement 5.11 is prepared on the basis of the response and it is presented across districts.

5.7.1.2 Overall there are around one fourth of the households who replied with a positive corona case within 1 kilometre of the house. Among the districts, the highest proportion is noticed in Kolkata (37\%), followed by Howrah (27\%) and the least is found in Hooghly (10\%).

### 5.8 Mental Health Issues

5.8.1.1 India's public landscape has transformed dramatically over the last couple of months, with the most prolonged lockdown that the present generation can remember. Declared on March 24, 2020, the lockdown ensured that hundreds of millions of people were effectively confined to home. While this has been effective in helping to curb the spread of this severe Pandemic in India, it may not, perhaps, been conducive to the emotional and mental health of the citizens, most importantly the students.
5.8.1.2 The lockdown applied to three main areas: physical movement out of the home, social distancing when outside the home, and restricted availability of most public services while sparing essential services. There was a sudden and drastic alteration in the daily routine, with many millions stranded in boarding houses and rental apartments. Even those who are at home are suddenly without normal classes, peer group interaction and confined in home. At this age group with enormous energy and physical exuberance, staying at home for 24 hours, connected to outside world with only mobile phone and internet is really stressful. In some cases, this boring situation, coupled with family issues like sudden loss of employment, along with financial stress or even distress, could enhance the psychological impact on the student community. We have enquired on 4 simple areas to judge the mental health of the respondent students as a whole.

### 5.8.2 Status of feeling: Statement

5.8.2.1 Statement 5.12 shows over-all situation of the students with their personal feeling on Lockdown.

| Statement 5.12: Feeling of students during lockdown |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Feeling during lockdown | Number | $\%$ |
| Experiencing an 'extreme level of mood <br> swings' caused by stuck in the house | 788 | 38 |
| Feeling frustrated and frightened | 580 | 28 |
| Feeling very anxious and helpless at home | 390 | 19 |
| Feeling peace and relaxed after a long time | 121 | 6 |
| Took it as a long holiday and happy | 151 | 7 |
| Other | 58 | 3 |
| All | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

5.8.2.2 Around $85 \%$ of the students felt insecure (either experiencing an 'extreme level of mood swings' caused by stuck in the house or feeling frustrated and frightened or very anxious \& helpless) where only about one tenth took it as fun and peaceful. So more or less we may conclude from the above fact that the situation has affected negatively to the respondent students.

### 5.8.3 Feeling across income group:

5.8.3.1 These feeling when seen with reference to income category in statement 5.13, it is evident that the students of lowest income category around $90 \%$ are highly stressful while confronting this lockdown, which is more than students of all other groups. Around $70 \%$ of the students belonging to richest class are least tensed among all. At the same time less than one fourth students of higher income group are managing the issues of lockdown peacefully, whereas corresponding percentage of the same is around $10 \%$ in lower income group. It can be conjectured that students of lower income category had to cope with the threat of financial crisis as well, which may be accounted for the higher percentage of tense students.

| Statement 5.13: Feeling of students during Lockdown for each income category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Income category |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feeling during Lockdown | Up to 7500 | $\begin{aligned} & 7501- \\ & 15000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15001- \\ & 25000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25001- } \\ 50000 \end{gathered}$ | More than 50000 | All |
| Experiencing an 'extreme level of mood swings' caused by stuck in the house | 273 | 236 | 121 | 93 | 65 | 788 |
| Feeling frustrated and frightened | 255 | 180 | 69 | 52 | 24 | 580 |
| Feeling very anxious and helpless at home | 157 | 130 | 52 | 26 | 25 | 390 |
| Feeling peace and relaxed after a long time | 22 | 35 | 25 | 17 | 22 | 121 |
| Took it as a long holiday and happy | 52 | 40 | 24 | 22 | 13 | 151 |
| Other | 20 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 12 | 58 |
| All | 779 | 630 | 301 | 217 | 161 | 2088 |



Figure 5.4: Percentage distribution of feeling of students in each income category

### 5.8.4 Feeling across movement:

5.8.4.1 Statement 5.14 below clearly shows how this feeling is inversely proportional with the number of times moving out. As mentioned earlier, these young students are staying inside the house against their will and huge energy content within them are acted negatively during this situation. It is quite evident that, among those who never went outside their house, proportion of highly tensed students is high compared to the others, who went outside their house at least once. The difference is around 30 to 50 percentage points for different feelings.

| Statement 5.14: Proportion of students with specific feeling during lockdown vis a vis <br> number of times going outside house |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feeling during lockdown |  | no of times going outside house in a week |  |
| never | once or twice | more than twice |  |
| Experiencing an 'extreme level of mood swings' <br> caused by stuck in the house | 53.2 | 39.5 | 7.4 |
| Feeling frustrated and frightened | 46.7 | 43.6 | 9.7 |
| Feeling very anxious and helpless at home | 40.0 | 48.2 | 11.8 |
| Feeling peace and relaxed after a long time | 47.1 | 45.5 | 7.4 |
| Took it as a long holiday and happy | 57.0 | 38.4 | 4.6 |
| Other | 50.0 | 41.4 | 8.6 |

### 5.8.5 Major time spent:

5.8.5.1 Statement 5.15 shows type of time spent by the students through 'major time spent approach'. More than two fifth of the students are mostly
working with family members and have quality time with them, $10 \%$ stays alone, and another two fifth spending time either alone or with family members. Only $5 \%$ had problems with family members.

| Statement 5.15: Number and percentage of students with type of time spent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alone | Works with family <br> members \& quality <br> time with them | Both | Problems with <br> family members | Other | All |
| 216 | 893 | 815 | 109 | 55 | 2088 |
| $10 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

### 5.8.6 Difficulty to relax:

5.8.6.1 Statement 5.16 shows number and proportion of students with difficulty to relax in Likert scale. The statement clearly represents that around $80 \%$ students expressed that there is no such difficulty (either indifferent or not often difficult or never difficult) to relax. But $20 \%$ clearly mentioned that they are having substantial difficulty (predominance or always) to relax.

Statement 5.16: Distribution of students on the scale of difficulty to relax

| Respondents | Code 1 <br> Always <br> difficult | Code 2 <br> Predominantly <br> difficult | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 <br> Not often <br> difficult | Code 5 <br> Never <br> difficult | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number | 203 | 203 | 623 | 434 | 625 | 2088 |
| Percentage | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |

### 5.8.7 Feeling agitated:

5.8.7.1 Statement 5.17 shows number and proportion of students with level of agitation in Likert scale. The statement clearly represents that around $65 \%$ students expressed that they are more or less not agitated (either indifferent or not often agitated or never agitated). But 35\% clearly mentioned that they are relatively agitated (predominance or always agitated).

| Statement 5.17: Distribution of students on the scale of feeling agitated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Respondents | Code 1 <br> Always <br> difficult | Code 2 <br> Predominantly <br> difficult | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 <br> Not often <br> difficult | Code 5 <br> Never <br> difficult | All |  |
| Number | 358 | 378 | 704 | 358 | 290 | 2088 |  |
| Percentage | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ |  |  |

## Appendix - A

## Section A: Students and their Households

Table 1: Distribution of Respondents in Districts by Gender

| District | Number of Students |  |  |  | Percentage of students* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Transgender | Total |  |
| Howrah | 906 | 28 | 0 | 934 | 45 |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 324 | 110 | 0 | 434 | 21 |
| Kolkata | 146 | 97 | 0 | 243 | 12 |
| Hooghly | 219 | 10 | 1 | 230 | 11 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 73 | 39 | 0 | 112 | 5 |
| Nadia | 16 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 1 |
| Murshidabad | 6 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 1 |
| Malda | 6 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 1 |
| Uttar Dinajpur | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Barddhaman (East) | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Alipurduar | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Cooch Behar | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Medinipur (East) | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Barddhaman (West) | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Medinipur (West) | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Birbhum | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Bankura | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Dakshin Dinajpur | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Jalpaiguri | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Darjeeling | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Jhargram | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Purulia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other States | 8 | 6 |  | 14 | 1 |
| All | 1726 | 361 | 1 | 2088 |  |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Table 2: Distribution of respondents by Occupation for each Gender

| Main Occupation of the Household | Number of Students |  |  |  | Percentage of students*: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Female | Male | Transgender | Total |  |
| Salaried | 631 | 167 | 0 | 798 | 38 |
| Agriculture Labourer | 53 | 16 | 0 | 69 | 3 |
| Non-Agricultural Labourer | 179 | 19 | 0 | 198 | 9 |
| Self Employed (Agriculture) | 40 | 16 | 0 | 56 | 3 |
| Self Employed (Manufacture) | 178 | 19 | 0 | 197 | 9 |
| Self Employed (Service) | 167 | 35 | 0 | 202 | 10 |
| Self Employed (Trade) | 398 | 73 | 1 | 472 | 23 |
| Other | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Not in any economic activity | 77 | 16 | 0 | 93 | 4 |
| All | 1726 | 361 | 1 | 2088 |  |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Table 3: Distribution of respondents by Districts and Income Categories

| District | Income Category (in ₹) |  |  |  |  | Total | Percentage* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & 7500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7501- \\ & 15000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15001- \\ & 25000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25001- \\ 50000 \end{gathered}$ | More than 50000 |  |  |
| 24 Parganas <br> (North) | 170 | 114 | 60 | 48 | 42 | 434 | 21 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 38 | 29 | 18 | 11 | 16 | 112 | 5 |
| Hooghly | 83 | 61 | 39 | 27 | 20 | 230 | 11 |
| Howrah | 390 | 319 | 123 | 71 | 31 | 934 | 45 |
| Kolkata | 63 | 76 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 243 | 12 |
| Other Dist. | 34 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 121 | 6 |
| Other State | 1 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 1 |
| All | 779 | 630 | 301 | 217 | 161 | 2088 |  |

[^0]Table 4: Distribution of respondents by Districts and Social Groups

| District | Number of students |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General | SC | ST | OBC A | OBC B |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 262 | 104 | 7 | 24 | 37 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 59 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 167 | 31 | 2 | 5 | 25 | 230 |
| Howrah | 757 | 115 | 5 | 20 | 37 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 208 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 55 | 24 | 7 | 22 | 13 | 121 |
| Other State | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| All | 1521 | 331 | 21 | 88 | 127 | 2088 |
| Percentage* | 73 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 6 |  |

Table 5: Distribution of respondents by Districts and Religion

| District | Number of students |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hindu | Muslim | Christian | Others |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 389 | 38 | 5 | 2 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 94 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 220 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 230 |
| Howrah | 861 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 162 | 73 | 7 | 1 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 90 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 121 |
| Other State | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| All | 1823 | 234 | 23 | 8 | 2088 |
| Percentage* | 87 | 11 | 1 | 0 |  |

Table 6: Distribution of respondents by Districts and Type of House

| District | Pacca | Semi Pacca | Kaccha | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 312 | 95 | 27 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 92 | 17 | 3 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 190 | 33 | 7 | 230 |
| Howrah | 757 | 157 | 20 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 211 | 27 | 5 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 93 | 14 | 14 | 121 |
| Other State | 12 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |
| Percentage* | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Table 7: Distribution of respondents by Income Category and Type of Houses

| Income Category (in ₹) | Pacca | Semi Pacca | Kaccha | Total | Percentage* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up to 7500 | 516 | 212 | 51 | 779 | 37 |
| 7501-15000 | 507 | 106 | 17 | 630 | 30 |
| 15001-25000 | 280 | 16 | 5 | 301 | 14 |
| 25001-50000 | 205 | 9 | 3 | 217 | 10 |
| More than 50000 | 159 | 1 | 1 | 161 | 8 |
| All | 1667 | 344 | 77 | 2088 |  |

Table 8: Distribution of respondents by Principal Occupation of the household and Income Categories

|  | Income Category (in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Houschold main occupation | Up to | $7501-$ | $15001-$ | $25001-$ | More than | Total |
|  | 7500 | 15000 | 25000 | 50000 | 50000 |  |
| Salaried | 188 | 202 | 132 | 144 | 132 | 798 |
| Agriculture Labourer | 51 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 69 |
| Non-Agricultural Labourer | 130 | 60 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 198 |
| Self Employed (Agriculture) | 33 | 14 | 4 | 5 |  | 56 |
| Self Employed (Manufacture) | 51 | 82 | 44 | 16 | 4 | 197 |
| Self Employed (Service) | 88 | 67 | 28 | 10 | 9 | 202 |
| Self Employed (Trade) | 190 | 163 | 72 | 33 | 14 | 472 |
| Other | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Not in any economic activity | 45 | 26 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 93 |
| All | $\mathbf{7 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |

Table 9: Distribution of respondents by (i) Household Size, (ii) Number of Earner (iii) Highest Education Level of Male/Female Member

| Characteristic | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Household Size |  |
| Less than 3 | 38 |
| 3 | 551 |
| 4 | 735 |
| 5 | 339 |
| More than 5 | 425 |
| All | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |
| Number of Earner |  |
| 1 | 1248 |
| 2 | 571 |
| 3 | 161 |
| More than 3 | 108 |
| All | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |


| Characteristic | Number | Percentage*: |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Highest Education Level of Male Member |  |  |
| Illiterate | 37 | 2 |
| Primary | 270 | 13 |
| Secondary | 386 | 18 |
| Higher Secondary | 475 | 23 |
| Graduate | 721 | 35 |
| Post Graduate | 176 | 8 |
| Other | 1 | 0 |
| NA | 22 | 1 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |  |
| All | 28 | 132 |
| Illiterate | 277 | 6 |
| Primary | 742 | 13 |
| Secondary | 689 | 36 |
| Higher Secondary | 220 | 33 |
| Graduate | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ | 11 |
| Post Graduate |  |  |
| All |  |  |
| *Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding |  |  |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

Table 10: Distribution of Students' Household according to Major Source of Drinking Water by
(i) Income Category, (ii) Social Group, (iii) Type of House and (iv) District

| Characteristic | Piped water in dwelling/ premises/ yard | Piped water outside | Tubewell/ bore-well/ protected well (inside premises) | Tubewell/ bore-wel// protected well (outside premises) | Tanker/ <br> truck/ <br> drum <br> (supplied <br> through <br> container) | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income Category (in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 271 | 137 | 309 | 1 | 35 | 26 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 246 | 105 | 185 | 2 | 67 | 25 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 166 | 37 | 52 | 4 | 26 | 16 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 114 | 17 | 39 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 99 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 161 |
| All | 896 | 306 | 606 | 7 | 176 | 97 | 2088 |
| Social Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 715 | 207 | 384 | 7 | 136 | 72 | 1521 |
| SC | 106 | 65 | 116 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 331 |
| ST | 7 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 21 |
| OBC A | 23 | 15 | 46 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 88 |
| OBC B | 45 | 15 | 55 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 127 |
| All | 896 | 306 | 606 | 7 | 176 | 97 | 2088 |
| Type of House |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacca | 775 | 209 | 430 | 5 | 164 | 84 | 1667 |
| Semi Pacca | 107 | 77 | 140 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 344 |
| Kachha | 14 | 20 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 77 |
| All | 896 | 306 | 606 | 7 | 176 | 97 | 2088 |


| Characteristic | Piped water in dwelling/ premises/ yard | Piped water outside | Tubewell/ bore-well/ protected well (inside premises) | Tubewell/ bore-well/ protected well (outside premises) | Tanker/ <br> truck/ <br> drum <br> (supplied <br> through <br> container) | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 167 | 60 | 143 | 2 | 35 | 27 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 31 | 16 | 39 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 112 | 18 | 79 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 230 |
| Howrah | 415 | 143 | 271 | 2 | 84 | 19 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 125 | 57 | 20 | 2 | 22 | 17 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 39 | 12 | 52 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 121 |
| Other State | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| All | 896 | 306 | 606 | 7 | 176 | 97 | 2088 |

Table 11: Distribution of Students' Households having Problem in Employment/Earning and Type of Problems Faced according to (i) Income Category, (ii) Social Group and (ii) District

| Characteristic | \% Having Problem |  | No. of Households with Different Problem* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of HHs | No. of <br> Persons | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | Total |
| Income Category (in ₹) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 633 | 766 | 99 | 87 | 265 | 93 | 85 | 20 | 649 |
| 7501-15000 | 462 | 617 | 77 | 57 | 198 | 96 | 36 | 13 | 477 |
| 15001-25000 | 164 | 231 | 36 | 20 | 61 | 36 | 12 | 8 | 173 |
| 25001-50000 | 66 | 76 | 17 | 5 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 71 |
| More than $50000$ | 19 | 36 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| All | 1344 | 1726 | 233 | 171 | 562 | 245 | 133 | 51 |  |

## \% Having Problem No. of Households with Different Problem*

| Characteristic | No. of HHs | No. of Persons | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 958 | 1237 | 179 | 120 | 398 | 180 | 78 | 35 | 990 |
| SC | 238 | 290 | 35 | 37 | 97 | 43 | 27 | 11 | 250 |
| ST | 7 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| OBC A | 48 | 63 | 8 | 4 | 22 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 51 |
| OBC B | 93 | 127 | 9 | 8 | 44 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 96 |
| All | 1344 | 1726 | 233 | 171 | 562 | 245 | 133 | 51 |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 276 | 356 | 40 | 26 | 122 | 50 | 37 | 9 | 284 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 64 | 81 | 17 | 8 | 18 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 71 |
| Hooghly | 138 | 165 | 31 | 23 | 43 | 29 | 13 | 3 | 142 |
| Howrah | 676 | 884 | 108 | 92 | 306 | 109 | 58 | 17 | 690 |
| Kolkata | 127 | 156 | 32 | 16 | 49 | 23 | 9 | 6 | 135 |
| Other Dist. | 53 | 68 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 60 |
| Other State | 10 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| All | 1344 | 1726 | 228 | 165 | 538 | 227 | 123 | 41 |  |

*Respondents were allowed to record multiple responses for this question.
Problems regarding employment/earning:
P1: Employment remains but wage/salary received is lesser
P2: Employment remains but wage/salary not received
P3: No business/service activity could be done
P4: Business/service activity was carried out in a very small scale
P5: Lost employment
P6: Other

Table 12: Distribution of Students' Household on Availability and Method of getting food item by (i) Income Category, (ii) Social Group and (iii) District

| characteristic | \% of Households not getting full meals | Distribution of Households getting food through** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | Total |
| Income category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 9 | 631 | 72 | 11 | 41 | 307 | 21 | 1083 |
| 7501-15000 | 4 | 408 | 36 | 2 | 13 | 359 | 20 | 838 |
| 15001-25000 | 2 | 138 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 212 | 18 | 379 |
| 25001-50000 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 177 | 13 | 250 |
| More than 50000 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 141 | 11 | 180 |
| All |  | 1257 | 119 | 14 | 61 | 1196 | 83 |  |
| Social Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 4 | 855 | 85 | 7 | 44 | 894 | 72 | 1957 |
| SC | 6 | 236 | 25 | 2 | 11 | 180 | 5 | 459 |
| ST | 5 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 31 |
| OBCA | 9 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 108 |
| OBCB | 9 | 95 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 62 | 3 | 175 |
| All |  | 1257 | 119 | 14 | 61 | 1196 | 83 |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 7 | 283 | 26 | 6 | 11 | 222 | 16 | 564 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 9 | 58 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 6 | 151 |
| Hooghly | 3 | 148 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 123 | 7 | 292 |
| Howrah | 5 | 606 | 62 | 5 | 32 | 507 | 28 | 1240 |
| Kolkata | 3 | 91 | 13 | 2 | 9 | 166 | 21 | 302 |
| Other Dist. | 3 | 69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 3 | 164 |
| Other State | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 17 |
| All |  | 1257 | 119 | 14 | 61 | 1196 | 83 |  |

** Respondents were allowed to record multiple responses for this question.
Collected food items from:
F1: Government Ration
F2: Food items provided by political parties
F3: Food items provided by religious organisation
F4: Food items distributed by Non-Government/Non-Political entities
F5: Bought own food
F6: None of the above

## Section B: Students and ISSUES of Education and Health

## Sub-section I: Education

Table 13: Distribution of Students by Type of Course according to (i) District and (ii) Income Category

| District | Number of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Honours |  |  | General |  |  | Post Graduate |  |  |
|  | B A | B Sc | B Com | B A | B Sc | B Com | M A | M Sc |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 165 | 92 | 9 | 152 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 59 | 40 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 96 | 94 | 8 | 23 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 230 |
| Howrah | 490 | 180 | 30 | 217 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 117 | 78 | 19 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 46 | 66 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 121 |
| Other State | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| All | 980 | 553 | 69 | 427 | 38 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 2088 |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 429 | 116 | 19 | 204 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 291 | 131 | 30 | 157 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 130 | 104 | 6 | 46 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 76 | 109 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 54 | 93 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 161 |
| All | 980 | 553 | 69 | 427 | 38 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 2088 |

Table 14: Distribution of Students by Arrangement of Online Classes by the College of Students according to Type of Course

| Name of Institute | Number of Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Honours |  |  | General |  |  | Post Graduate |  | Total |  |
|  | B A | B Sc | B Com | B A | B Sc | B Com | MA | M Sc |  |  |
| Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya | 84 | 1 | 0 | 136 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 12 |
| Bijoy Krishna Girls' College | 538 | 213 | 31 | 212 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1016 | 55 |
| Maulana Azad College | 130 | 119 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 294 | 16 |
| St. Pauls C M College | 92 | 74 | 0 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 11 |
| Women's Christian College | 63 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 5 |
| Other Colleges | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 |
| All | 910 | 432 | 66 | 390 | 26 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 2088 |  |
| Percentage* | 49 | 23 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Table 15: Distribution of Students who attended Online Classes according to Income Category for each Type of Course

| Income Categories | Number of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Honours |  |  | General |  |  | Post Graduate |  |  |
|  | B A | B Sc | B Com | B A | B Sc | B Com | M A | M Sc |  |
| Up to 7500 | 390 | 96 | 18 | 176 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 689 |
| 7501-15000 | 254 | 99 | 29 | 129 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 526 |
| 15001-25000 | 119 | 75 | 5 | 39 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 248 |
| 25001-50000 | 64 | 76 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 165 |
| More than $50000$ | 45 | 66 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 121 |
| All | 872 | 412 | 63 | 360 | 24 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 1749 |

Table 16: Distribution of Students by Type of Gadget(s) used for attending Online Classes according to Income Category

| Income Categories | Honours |  |  |  | General |  | Post Graduate |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G1 | G2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G1, } \\ & \text { G2 } \end{aligned}$ | G1 | G2 | G1, | G1 | G2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G1, } \\ & \text { G2 } \end{aligned}$ | G1 | G2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G1, } \\ & \text { G2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Up to 7500 | 499 | 0 | 5 | 183 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 683 | 0 | 6 |
| 7501-15000 | 367 | 3 | 12 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 510 | 4 | 12 |
| 15001-25000 | 175 | 3 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 223 | 3 | 22 |
| 25001-50000 | 130 | 3 | 15 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 4 | 17 |
| More than $50000$ | 75 | 2 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 3 | 38 |
| All | 1246 | 11 | 90 | 389 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1640 | 14 | 95 |
| Percentage $(\mathrm{N}=1749)^{*}$ | 71.2 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 93.7 | 0.8 | 5.5 |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding
Respondents were allowed to record multiple responses for this question.
Gadgets Used
G1: Mobile
G2: Laptop/Tablet/PC
G1,G2: Moble and Laptop/Tablet/PC

Table 17: Distribution of Students' Satisfaction Level regarding Online Classes according to (i) Income Category, (ii) Financial Condition, (iii) Course Type and (iv) Type of Gadget(s) used

| Characteristic | No. of Students with Satisfaction Level <br> Code 1 <br> Not <br> Satisfied |  | Code 3 <br> Indifierent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very much <br> satisfied | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 52 | 81 | 215 | 145 | 196 | 689 |
| 7501-15000 | 53 | 59 | 172 | 123 | 119 | 526 |
| 15001-25000 | 32 | 23 | 82 | 54 | 57 | 248 |
| 25001-50000 | 17 | 17 | 47 | 44 | 40 | 165 |
| More than 50000 | 9 | 14 | 43 | 31 | 24 | 121 |
| All | 163 | 194 | 559 | 397 | 436 | 1749 |
| \% (N=1749)* | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |  |


| Characteristic | No. of Students with Satisfaction Level |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Code 1 Not satisfied | Code 2 | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Very much satisfied |  |
| Financial condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 45 | 56 | 137 | 92 | 123 | 453 |
| Not Degraded | 118 | 138 | 422 | 305 | 313 | 1296 |
| Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B A (Hons) | 72 | 90 | 278 | 205 | 227 | 872 |
| B Sc (Hons) | 43 | 54 | 145 | 98 | 72 | 412 |
| B Com (Hons) | 9 | 11 | 30 | 10 | 3 | 63 |
| B A (Gen) | 34 | 30 | 92 | 79 | 125 | 360 |
| B Sc (Gen) | 2 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 24 |
| B Com (Gen) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| M A | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| M Sc | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| All | 163 | 194 | 559 | 397 | 436 | 1749 |
| Gadget Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G1 (Mobile) | 147 | 181 | 525 | 371 | 416 | 1640 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { G2 } \\ & \text { (Laptop/Tab/PC) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 14 |
| Both G1, G2 | 14 | 11 | 33 | 23 | 14 | 95 |

Table 18: Distribution of Students according to their experience of Online Classes in relation to (i) Income Category, (ii) Financial Condition, (iii) Type of Course and (iv) Type of Gadget(s) used

## Type of problem faced during online class**

| Characteristic | Type of problem faced during online class*** |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PR1 PR2 PR3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 41 | 483 | 30 | 26 | 41 | 145 | 54 | 820 |
| 7501-15000 | 34 | 390 | 32 | 26 | 45 | 71 | 39 | 637 |
| 15001-25000 | 21 | 176 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 9 | 11 | 262 |
| 25001-50000 | 19 | 115 | 9 | 12 | 24 | 3 | 20 | 202 |
| More than 50000 | 15 | 84 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 128 |
| All | 130 | 1248 | 90 | 82 | 141 | 229 | 129 |  |
| Percentage* $(\mathrm{N}=1599)$ | 8 | 78 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 8 |  |
| Financial condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 26 | 311 | 23 | 14 | 28 | 124 | 34 | 560 |
| Not Degraded | 104 | 937 | 67 | 68 | 113 | 105 | 95 | 1489 |
| All | 130 | 1248 | 90 | 82 | 141 | 229 | 129 |  |
| Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honours | 112 | 973 | 80 | 69 | 125 | 174 | 93 | 1626 |
| General | 18 | 272 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 55 | 36 | 419 |
| Post Graduate | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| All | 130 | 1248 | 90 | 82 | 141 | 229 | 129 |  |
| Gadget Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G1 (Mobile) | 111 | 1155 | 80 | 72 | 113 | 210 | 74 | 1815 |
| G2 (Laptop/Tab/PC) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 |
| Both G1, G2 | 10 | 57 | 8 | 7 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 106 |
| All | 121 | 1219 | 88 | 80 | 134 | 214 | 79 |  |

## Type of problem faced during online class**

| Characteristic | PR1 | PR2 | PR3 | PR4 | PR5 | PR6 | PR7 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 27 | 261 | 13 | 17 | 26 | 60 | 22 | 426 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 10 | 64 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 119 |
| Hooghly | 8 | 148 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 23 | 15 | 216 |
| Howrah | 57 | 588 | 44 | 22 | 58 | 99 | 61 | 929 |
| Kolkata | 19 | 109 | 13 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 16 | 227 |
| Other Dist. | 7 | 70 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 115 |
| Other State | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| All | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ |  |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding
** Respondents were allowed to record multiple responses for this question.
Problems faced during online classes:
PR1: Difficulty in operating applications
PR2: Weak internet connectivity
PR3: Lack of digital literacy
PR4: Problem in time-management
PR5: Lack of self-motivation
PR6: No money to buy data card
PR7: Other

Table 19: Distribution of Students' Concern relating to Completion of Course due to Lockdown for (i) Income Category, (ii) Financial Condition, (iii) Broad Course Type and (iv) Attendance of Online Classes

| Characteristic | No. of students with |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Code 1 <br> Not so worried | Code 2 | Code 3 <br> Indifferent | Code 4 |  |  |
| Income category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 46 | 27 | 107 | 134 | 465 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 35 | 25 | 80 | 135 | 355 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 17 | 21 | 44 | 66 | 153 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 18 | 13 | 44 | 46 | 96 | 217 |
| More than $50000$ | 12 | 9 | 41 | 41 | 58 | 161 |
| All | 129 | 97 | 319 | 426 | 1132 | 2088 |
| Financial condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 20 | 18 | 61 | 85 | 354 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 108 | 77 | 255 | 337 | 773 | 1550 |
| All | 129 | 97 | 319 | 426 | 1132 | 2088 |
| Broad Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honours | 87 | 81 | 258 | 336 | 840 | 1602 |
| General | 41 | 14 | 57 | 85 | 280 | 477 |
| Post Graduate | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 9 |
| All | 129 | 97 | 319 | 426 | 1132 | 2088 |
| Online Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attending | 104 | 83 | 277 | 367 | 918 | 1749 |
| Not attending | 24 | 12 | 39 | 55 | 209 | 339 |
| All | 129 | 97 | 319 | 426 | 1132 | 2088 |

## Sub-section II: Health

Table 20: Morbidity Status of Students' Households according to (i) District and (ii) Income Category

| District | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | One Chronic Disease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z} \\ & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | O 0 0 0 0 |  | 5 0 0 0 0.0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 릉 } \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 이 } \\ & = \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  | é |  |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { Pgs. } \\ & \text { (N) } \end{aligned}$ | 284 | 29 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 57 | 434 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { Pgs. } \\ & (\mathrm{S}) \end{aligned}$ | 69 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 156 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 22 | 230 |
| Howrah | 654 | 52 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 86 | 67 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 185 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 21 | 243 |
| Other <br> Dist. | 89 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 121 |
| Other State | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| All | 1448 | 122 | 37 | 29 | 27 | 7 | 38 | 15 | 12 | 165 | 188 | 2088 |


| District | 0000000000$\vdots$ | One Chronic Disease |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | More than one Chronic Disease | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathscr{Z}$ <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{N}{0}$ | 远 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | E O E 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Hyper or hypo Thyroid | od |  |  |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & 7500 \end{aligned}$ | 218 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 19 | 27 | 301 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7501- \\ & 15000 \end{aligned}$ | 152 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 6 |  | 2 | 7 | 27 | 217 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15001- \\ & 25000 \end{aligned}$ | 434 | 33 | 15 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 48 | 65 | 630 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25001- \\ & 50000 \end{aligned}$ | 532 | 45 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 79 | 53 | 779 |
| More than 50000 | 112 | 12 |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 16 | 161 |
| All | 1448 | 122 | 37 | 29 | 27 | 7 | 38 | 15 | 12 | 165 | 188 | 2088 |

Table 21: Status of Students' Households, with or without Chronic Diseases, in Obtaining Medicines according to (i) District and (ii) Income Category

| Characteristics | \% of households having chronically ailing patients: | Status of obtaining medicine*** |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 35\% | 243 | 34 | 7 | 51 | 17 | 1 | 353 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 38\% | 60 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 94 |
| Hooghly | 32\% | 142 | 19 | 6 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 199 |
| Howrah | 30\% | 581 | 46 | 12 | 108 | 26 | 3 | 776 |
| Kolkata | 24\% | 159 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 208 |
| Other Dist. | 27\% | 64 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 88 |
| Other State | 14\% | 6 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| All | 31\% | 1255 | 138 | 43 | 221 | 68 | 5 | 1730 |
| Income Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 32\% | 435 | 29 | 2 | 103 | 34 | 3 | 606 |
| 7501-15000 | $31 \%$ | 395 | 37 | 15 | 65 | 22 | 2 | 536 |
| 15001-25000 | 28\% | 178 | 34 | 10 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 255 |
| 25001-50000 | 30\% | 144 | 21 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 192 |
| More than 50000 | 30\% | 103 | 17 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 141 |
| All | 31\% | 1255 | 138 | 43 | 221 | 68 | 5 | 1730 |

*Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding
** Status of obtaining medicine:
M1: Available in medicine shop and could be purchased as and when required
M2: Required ordering and made available within a week
M3: Ordered through online
M4: Medicine was available at home (previously stocked)
M5: Faced tremendous problem
M6: Other

Table 22: Status of Students' Households in Hospitalisation / Visiting Physicians according to (i) District and (ii) Income Category

| Characteristics | \% of Houscholds having |  |  | Whether doctor consulted if ailing |  | Whether problem faced for hospitalisation |  | If doctor was not consulted, the reasons** |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 88 | 12 | 2 | 79 | 21 | 67 | 33 | 33 | 50 | 17 | 0 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 91 | 9 | 3 | 80 | 20 | 27 | 73 | 38 | 25 | 38 | 0 |
| Hooghly | 84 | 16 | 2 | 83 | 17 | 50 | 50 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| Howrah | 93 | 7 | 2 | 86 | 14 | 44 | 56 | 50 | 30 | 0 | 20 |
| Kolkata | 93 | 7 | 1 | 82 | 18 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Dist. | 88 | 12 | 2 | 60 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Other State | 79 | 21 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 91 | 9 | 2 | 81 | 19 | 40 | 60 | 44 | 36 | 11 | 8 |
| Income Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 92 | 8 | 2 | 80 | 20 | 21 | 79 | 38 | 38 | 15 | 8 |
| 7501-15000 | 90 | 10 | 2 | 76 | 24 | 46 | 54 | 50 | 25 | 13 | 13 |
| 15001-25000 | 92 | 8 | 2 | 88 | 12 | 33 | 67 | 67 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| 25001-50000 | 91 | 9 | 2 | 85 | 15 | 60 | 40 | 33 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 50000 | 91 | 9 | 1 | 93 | 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 91 | 9 | 2 | 81 | 19 | 40 | 60 | 44 | 36 | 11 | 8 |

* If doctor was not consulted, the reasons

R1: Ailment not considered serious
R2: Doctor Clinics were closed nearby and no vehicles available to go beyond
R3: financial constraint
R4: Other

Table 23：Status of Students＇Movement Outside Home and Reasons Thereof according to（i） District，（ii）Income Category，（iii）Morbidity Status of Household and（iv）Financial Status

| Characteristics | Number of students going outside during an average week |  |  |  |  |  | Number of students quoting reasons＊ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { Z } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{8} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{E}{E} \\ & \underset{E}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { 砛 } \\ & \text { m } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { More than } 5 \text { times }$ | Total | E | 発 | $\frac{\text { e }}{\text { ® }}$ | 荷 | Total |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas（N） | 173 | 115 | 99 | 37 | 10 | 434 | 57 | 134 | 7 | 72 | 270 |
| 24 Parganas（S） | 51 | 27 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 112 | 15 | 34 | 1 | 12 | 62 |
| Hooghly | 122 | 56 | 37 | 11 | 4 | 230 | 18 | 65 | 2 | 25 | 110 |
| Howrah | 525 | 245 | 113 | 35 | 16 | 934 | 72 | 216 | 4 | 122 | 414 |
| Kolkata | 102 | 70 | 40 | 24 | 7 | 243 | 23 | 95 | 4 | 24 | 146 |
| Other Dist． | 42 | 35 | 24 | 10 | 10 | 121 | 14 | 48 | 1 | 18 | 81 |
| Other State | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| All | 1018 | 551 | 338 | 129 | 52 | 2088 | 200 | 601 | 19 | 274 | 1094 |
| Income Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 382 | 216 | 118 | 40 | 23 | 779 | 66 | 204 | 5 | 129 | 404 |
| 75001－15000 | 297 | 160 | 119 | 45 | 9 | 630 | 77 | 188 | 3 | 69 | 337 |
| 15001－25000 | 129 | 98 | 47 | 20 | 7 | 301 | 33 | 93 | 4 | 46 | 176 |
| 25001－50000 | 125 | 44 | 26 | 16 | 6 | 217 | 12 | 63 | 3 | 18 | 96 |
| More than 50000 | 85 | 33 | 28 | 8 | 7 | 161 | 12 | 53 | 4 | 12 | 81 |
| All | 1018 | 551 | 338 | 129 | 52 | 2088 | 200 | 601 | 19 | 274 | 1094 |
| Health Status of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chronic patient | 306 | 169 | 140 | 65 | 20 | 700 | 113 | 208 | 7 | 76 | 396 |
| Ailing person | 59 | 52 | 40 | 27 | 13 | 191 | 61 | 50 | 3 | 22 | 132 |
| Hospitalisation | 9 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 40 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 31 |


|  | Number of students going outside during an average week |  |  |  |  |  | Number of students quoting reasons* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { W} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{\underset{B}{E}}$ |  |  | Total | E | $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{5}$ | $\frac{\text { 先 }}{}$ | 萢 | Total |
| Financial Status of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 242 | 147 | 91 | 39 | 19 | 538 | 55 | 158 | 7 | 85 | 305 |
| Not Degraded | 776 | 404 | 247 | 90 | 33 | 1550 | 145 | 443 | 12 | 189 | 789 |

*Respondents were allowed to record multiple responses for this question.
Reasons for going outside home:
GR1: Emergency Medical Reason
GR2: Purchasing daily needs
GR3: For some relief Work to poor people
GR4: Other

Table 24: Distribution of Students' Households with Other Household Members Going Outside to get food items and Non-Household Members Visiting, according to (i) District and (ii) Income Category

| Characteristics | Frequency of other HH member going outside to buy/collect food |  |  |  | Frequency of visit of nonhousehold members |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \frac{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{3} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \& \\ & \equiv \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{b} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | cos | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { En } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 17 | 155 | 220 | 42 | 350 | 42 | 28 | 14 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 4 | 34 | 61 | 13 | 85 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 5 | 88 | 109 | 28 | 183 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 230 |
| Howrah | 37 | 303 | 491 | 103 | 815 | 60 | 45 | 14 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 5 | 77 | 117 | 44 | 207 | 11 | 17 | 8 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 3 | 33 | 67 | 18 | 88 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 121 |
| Other State | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 |
| All | 72 | 693 | 1074 | 249 | 1740 | 150 | 131 | 67 | 2088 |


| Characteristics | Frequency of other HH member going outside to buy/collect food |  |  |  | Frequency of visit of nonhousehold members |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{8} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \equiv \\ & 0 \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \dot{8} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{O}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Income Categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 33 | 260 | 394 | 92 | 704 | 47 | 21 | 7 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 18 | 188 | 336 | 88 | 536 | 52 | 32 | 10 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 14 | 102 | 156 | 29 | 230 | 26 | 30 | 15 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 7 | 78 | 108 | 24 | 160 | 15 | 28 | 14 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 0 | 65 | 80 | 16 | 110 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 161 |
| All | 72 | 693 | 1074 | 249 | 1740 | 150 | 131 | 67 | 2088 |
| Health Status of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| With Chronic Patient | 20 | 215 | 370 | 95 | 574 | 57 | 48 | 21 | 700 |
| With Ailing Person | 9 | 44 | 104 | 34 | 136 | 32 | 15 | 8 | 191 |
| With Hospitalisation | 4 | 6 | 23 | 7 | 26 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 40 |
| Financial Status of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 22 | 188 | 266 | 62 | 480 | 34 | 18 | 6 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 50 | 505 | 808 | 187 | 1260 | 116 | 113 | 61 | 1550 |

## Section C: Mental Health

Table 25: Distribution of Students with respect to their Feeling due to Lockdown according to (i) District, (ii) Income Category, (iii) Financial Condition, (iv) Broad Course Type, (iv) Frequency of Going Outside, (v) Liking of Online Classes and (vi) Major Time Spent

| Characteristic | Type of Feeling* |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |  |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 138 | 90 | 142 | 23 | 28 | 13 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 42 | 18 | 31 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 100 | 34 | 51 | 17 | 21 | 7 | 230 |
| Howrah | 375 | 161 | 275 | 43 | 61 | 19 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 92 | 57 | 49 | 20 | 17 | 8 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 38 | 27 | 27 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 121 |
| Other State | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 14 |
| All | 788 | 390 | 580 | 121 | 151 | 58 | 2088 |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 273 | 157 | 255 | 22 | 52 | 20 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 236 | 130 | 180 | 35 | 40 | 9 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 121 | 52 | 69 | 25 | 24 | 10 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 93 | 26 | 52 | 17 | 22 | 7 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 65 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 13 | 12 | 161 |
| Financial Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 169 | 137 | 189 | 10 | 25 | 8 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 619 | 253 | 391 | 111 | 126 | 50 | 1550 |
| Broad Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 175 | 95 | 145 | 23 | 35 | 4 | 477 |
| Honours | 609 | 293 | 433 | 97 | 116 | 54 | 1602 |
| Post Graduate | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |


| Characteristic | Type of Feeling* |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 |  |
| Frequency of Going-out in a week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 419 | 156 | 271 | 57 | 86 | 29 | 1018 |
| Once | 196 | 114 | 152 | 39 | 38 | 12 | 551 |
| 2 times | 115 | 74 | 101 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 338 |
| 3-5 times | 38 | 33 | 46 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 129 |
| More than 5 times | 20 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 52 |
| Satisfaction Level regarding Online Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code 1 Not satisfied | 60 | 41 | 42 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 163 |
| Code 2 | 63 | 45 | 55 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 194 |
| Code 3 Indifferent | 236 | 105 | 136 | 30 | 36 | 16 | 559 |
| Code 4 | 163 | 59 | 102 | 26 | 39 | 8 | 397 |
| Code 5 Very much satisfied | 165 | 67 | 134 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 436 |
| Major Time Spent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alone | 77 | 57 | 66 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 216 |
| With family | 316 | 141 | 240 | 70 | 97 | 29 | 893 |
| Both | 361 | 147 | 207 | 43 | 38 | 19 | 815 |
| Problem with family | 21 | 37 | 44 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 109 |
| Others | 13 | 8 | 23 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 55 |
| * Type of Feeling: <br> F1: Experien <br> F2: Feeling <br> F3: Feeling f <br> F4: Feeling p <br> F5: Took it <br> F6: Other | g an 'e y anxio strated ce and long h | eme le and he fright axed a day and | of moo ess at ho d a long appy | swings' <br> e <br> e | aused by | tuck in | house |

Table 26: Distribution of Students according to Major Time Spent during Lockdown for
(i) District, (ii) Income Category, (iii) Financial Condition and (iv) Broad Course Type

| Characteristic | Alone | With works for family | Both | Problems with family | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (N) | 66 | 167 | 168 | 23 | 10 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (S) | 19 | 41 | 45 | 5 | 2 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 15 | 112 | 92 | 5 | 6 | 230 |
| Howrah | 74 | 422 | 363 | 48 | 27 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 26 | 101 | 94 | 15 | 7 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 15 | 41 | 50 | 13 | 2 | 121 |
| Other State | 1 | 9 | 3 |  | 1 | 14 |
| All | 216 | 893 | 815 | 109 | 55 | 2088 |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 87 | 314 | 298 | 60 | 20 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 65 | 273 | 242 | 28 | 22 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 27 | 146 | 113 | 8 | 7 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 22 | 88 | 94 | 10 | 3 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 15 | 72 | 68 | 3 | 3 | 161 |
| Financial Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 70 | 207 | 183 | 62 | 16 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 146 | 686 | 632 | 47 | 39 | 1550 |
| Broad Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Honours | 65 | 219 | 155 | 27 | 11 | 477 |
| General | 150 | 671 | 655 | 82 | 44 | 1602 |
| Post Graduate | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |  | 9 |

Table 27: Distribution of Students according to their Feeling of Difficulty to Relax with respect to (i) District, (ii) Income Category, (iii) Financial Condition, (iv) Availability of Food, (v) Broad Course Type, (vi) Concern for Completion of the Course, (vii) Ailment Status and (viii) Detection of COVID-19 cases in the vicinity

| Characteristic | Code 1 <br> Always | Code 2 | Code 3 | Code 4 | Code 5 Never | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 47 | 42 | 145 | 83 | 117 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 14 | 17 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 19 | 13 | 52 | 58 | 88 | 230 |
| Howrah | 86 | 80 | 287 | 172 | 309 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 29 | 29 | 71 | 61 | 53 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 8 | 19 | 37 | 28 | 29 | 121 |
| Other State |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 14 |
| All | 203 | 203 | 623 | 434 | 625 | 2088 |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 99 | 76 | 239 | 149 | 216 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 57 | 63 | 181 | 138 | 191 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 25 | 30 | 90 | 59 | 97 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 17 | 21 | 62 | 53 | 64 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 5 | 13 | 51 | 35 | 57 | 161 |
| Financial condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 75 | 65 | 175 | 90 | 133 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 128 | 138 | 448 | 344 | 492 | 1550 |
| Get breakfast, lunch and dinner for last seven days |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 54 | 47 | 137 | 80 | 114 | 432 |
| No | 21 | 18 | 38 | 10 | 19 | 106 |
| Broad Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 66 | 44 | 132 | 80 | 155 | 477 |
| Honours | 137 | 157 | 484 | 354 | 470 | 1602 |
| Post Graduate | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 |


| Characteristic | Code 1 <br> Always | Code 2 | Code 3 | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Never | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concern for Completion of Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code 1 Not so worried | 23 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 70 | 128 |
| Code 2 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 19 | 31 | 95 |
| Code 3 | 16 | 22 | 117 | 84 | 77 | 316 |
| Code 4 | 12 | 41 | 158 | 124 | 87 | 422 |
| Code 5 Very worried | 145 | 116 | 308 | 198 | 360 | 1127 |
| Anyone in family fell ill during lockdown |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 25 | 24 | 66 | 30 | 46 | 191 |
| No | 178 | 179 | 557 | 404 | 579 | 1897 |
| COVID-19 case in the vicinity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 60 | 54 | 180 | 90 | 112 | 496 |
| No | 143 | 149 | 443 | 344 | 513 | 1592 |

Table 28: Distribution of Students according to the Status of Feeling Agitated with respect to (i) District, (ii) Income Category, (iii) Financial Condition, (iv) Availability of Drinking Water, (v) Frequency of Going Outside, (vi) Broad Course Type, (vii) Liking of Online Classes, (viii) Concern for Completion of the Course, (ix) Health Status of Household and (x) Major Time Spent

| Characteristic | Code 1 <br> Always | Code 2 | Code 3 | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Never | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Parganas (North) | 75 | 69 | 147 | 71 | 72 | 434 |
| 24 Parganas (South) | 15 | 23 | 38 | 21 | 15 | 112 |
| Hooghly | 36 | 37 | 80 | 42 | 35 | 230 |
| Howrah | 177 | 179 | 311 | 142 | 125 | 934 |
| Kolkata | 46 | 42 | 82 | 46 | 27 | 243 |
| Other Dist. | 9 | 27 | 36 | 33 | 16 | 121 |
| Other State | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 14 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 8}$ |


| Characteristic | Code 1 <br> Always | Code 2 | Code 3 | Code 4 | Code 5 <br> Never | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 7500 | 155 | 135 | 260 | 116 | 113 | 779 |
| 7501-15000 | 112 | 125 | 216 | 100 | 77 | 630 |
| 15001-25000 | 46 | 48 | 104 | 58 | 45 | 301 |
| 25001-50000 | 30 | 35 | 70 | 47 | 35 | 217 |
| More than 50000 | 15 | 35 | 54 | 37 | 20 | 161 |
| Financial Condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degraded | 114 | 102 | 180 | 60 | 82 | 538 |
| Not Degraded | 244 | 276 | 524 | 298 | 208 | 1550 |
| Availability of Drinking Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code 1 Very Scarce | 58 | 23 | 37 | 5 | 32 | 155 |
| Code 2 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 24 | 13 | 137 |
| Code 3 | 61 | 94 | 184 | 88 | 32 | 459 |
| Code 4 | 58 | 112 | 203 | 122 | 36 | 531 |
| Code 5 Amply Available | 156 | 119 | 235 | 119 | 177 | 806 |
| Frequency of going out in a week |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 177 | 178 | 330 | 188 | 145 | 1018 |
| Once | 96 | 102 | 176 | 96 | 81 | 551 |
| Twice | 53 | 65 | 136 | 49 | 35 | 338 |
| 3-5 times | 22 | 26 | 44 | 22 | 15 | 129 |
| More than 5 times | 10 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 52 |
| Broad Course Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | 116 | 91 | 144 | 54 | 72 | 477 |
| Honours | 241 | 285 | 554 | 304 | 218 | 1602 |
| Post Graduate | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |  |


| Characteristic | Code 1 <br> Always | Code 2 | Code 3 | Code 4 | Code 5 Never | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction Level regarding Online Classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code 1 Not satisfied | 56 | 34 | 44 | 7 | 22 | 163 |
| Code 2 | 26 | 48 | 67 | 40 | 13 | 194 |
| Code 3 Indifferent | 68 | 114 | 229 | 101 | 47 | 559 |
| Code 4 | 47 | 68 | 139 | 105 | 38 | 397 |
| Code 5 Very much satisfied | 108 | 41 | 112 | 50 | 125 | 436 |
| Concern related to Completion of Course |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Code 1 Not so worried | 32 | 12 | 28 | 12 | 44 | 128 |
| Code 2 | 8 | 22 | 30 | 23 | 12 | 95 |
| Code 3 | 19 | 52 | 148 | 66 | 31 | 316 |
| Code 4 | 31 | 93 | 169 | 98 | 31 | 422 |
| Code 5 Very worried | 268 | 199 | 329 | 159 | 172 | 1127 |
| Health Status of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household with Chronic Patient | 142 | 134 | 229 | 106 | 89 | 700 |
| Household with Ailing Person | 34 | 44 | 66 | 25 | 22 | 191 |
| Household with Hospitalisation | 7 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 40 |
| Major time spent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alone | 71 | 41 | 57 | 17 | 30 | 216 |
| With family | 126 | 119 | 292 | 177 | 179 | 893 |
| Both | 123 | 183 | 295 | 147 | 67 | 815 |
| Problems with family member | 28 | 28 | 36 | 8 | 9 | 109 |
| Others | 10 | 7 | 24 | 9 | 5 | 55 |

## Appendix - B

## Situation Assessment Survey during Lockdown

Joint Study of Department of Statistics, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health with Bijoy Krishna Girl' College, Howrah; Banipur Mahila Mahavidyalaya, North 24 Parganas; Maulana Azad College, Kolkata; St. Paul's College, Kolkata; and Women's Christian College, Kolkata.
Situation Assessment of students and their household during lockdown period through an online survey is attempted through this survey.
**THE COLLECTED DATA ARE PURELY CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL BE USED FOR ACADEMIC PURPOSE**

Email address *

123@dummy.in

## Basic Information

Information about You

Name *
Please write your name
dummy

## Gender *

MaleFemaleTransgenderName of the College *

Please select
() Banipur Mahila MahavidyalayaBijoy Krishna Girls' CollegeMaulana Azad CollegeSt. Pauls C M CollegeWomen's Christian College

Course *

- BA (HONS)BSC (HONS)BCOM (HONS)BA (GEN)BSC (GEN)BCOM(GEN)MAMSC

HONOURS SUBJECT (if any)
dummy

## Category *

(O) GeneralSCSTOBCAOBCB

Religion *
© HinduMuslimChristianBuddhist, Jain or any other

Whether you have downloaded "Arogya Setu" APP? *
() YesNo

Village name/ Town name/City Name with Pin code *
dummy

## Panchayat name/ municipality/Corporation ward name \& number

## District

Kolkata24 PGS(N)24 PGS(S)HowrahHooghlyPurba BarddhamanPaschim BarddhamanPurba MedinipurPaschim MedinipurJhargramNadiaMurshidabadOther (specify)
## Mobile Number *

Need not to add ' 0 ' before the Mobile No.
dummy

## Household Information:

Persons living together and sharing same food from same kitchen are family members. একত্রে বসবাসকারী একই রান্নাঘরে প্পস্তত .এক খাবার যারা খান তারাই শধ পরিবারের সদস্য .এখানন

Household Size (total number of members of the family including you) বাড়ির লোক সংখ্যা (তুমি সহ) *

0

Male member including you (age >12) বাড়ির বারো বছরের উর্দ্ধে পুরুষ সদস্য সংখ্যা *
If there is no male member of age more than 12 years, then report ' 0 '

0

## Female member including you (age >12) বাড়ির বারো বছরের উর্দ্ধে মহিলা সদস্য সংখ্যা * <br> If there is no female member of age more than 12 years, then report ' 0 ' here

0
$\qquad$

Highest education level among the male members বাড়ির সর্বাপেক্ষা উচ্চ শিক্ষিত পুরুষ সদস্য *
(-) IlliteratePrimarySecondaryHigher SecondaryGraduatePost GraduateOther:

Highest education level among the female members বাড়ির সর্বাপেক্ষা উচ্চ শিক্ষিত মহিলা সদস্য *
( ) IlliteratePrimarySecondaryHigher SecondaryGraduatePost GraduateOther:

Number of male earner (all getting money from any source (like economic activity, pension, stipend, remittance etc.) বাড়িতে রোজগেরে পুরুষ সংখ্যা (যে কোনো রকম রোজগার) *
If there is no male earning member, then report ' 0 ' here
0

Number of female earner (বাড়ির রোজগেরে মহিলা সদস্য সংখ্যা )(যে কোনো রকম রোজগার) * If there is no female earning member, then report ' 0 ' here

0

Amount of monthly income of the household (পরিবারের মাসিক আয়) *Up to 75007501-1500015001-2500025001-50000more than 50000

Description of Primary earner (whose earning is highest among all) পরিবারের মুখ্য উপার্জনকারী কে এবং কাজের বিবরণ ;
dummy

Occupation of the primary earner পরিবারের মুখ্য উপার্জনকারী কি করেন ? *Salaried (সুনির্দিষ্ট বেতনভোগী)Agriculture Labourer (কৃষি শ্রমিক)Non-Agricultural labourer (অ- কৃষি শ্রমিক)Self Employed (agriculture) স্বনির্ভর কৃষিজীবীSelf Employed (Manufacture) স্বনির্ভর উৎপাদ্ন ব্যবসায়ী (যেমন নিজের কারখানা)Self Employed (Trade) স্বনির্ভর অন্য ব্যবসায়ী (যেমন নিজের দোকান বা ফেরি)Self Employed (Service) অন্য কোনো স্বনির্ভর পরিসেবা (যেমন রিক্সা, অটোচালক)Not in any economic activity (কোন আর্থিক কাজ পরিবারে নেই)Other:

Whether any member face any problem in his/her employment recently পরিবারের কোনো সদস্যের কাজে সম্প্রতি কোনো অসুবিধা হয়েছে ? *
( Yes
No
(If earlier question is replied as YES) Number of earners facing problem in his/her employment পরিবারের কয়জন সদস্যের উপার্জনে অসুবিধা হয়েছে

If Yes, describe the problem in short for each of them কি ধরণের অসুবিধা
You may record multiple responses here একাধিক পছন্দ নির্বাচন সম্তব
$\checkmark$ Employment remains but wage/salary not received কাজ আছে, কিন্তু মজুরী / বেতন পায়নি
$\square$ Employment remains but wage/salary received is lesser কাজ আছে কিন্তু মজুরী /বেতন কম পেয়েছেLost employment কাজ চলে গেছেBusiness/service activity was carried out in a very small scale ব্যবসা/ পরিষেবা খুব সামান্য হয়েছেNo business/service activity could be done ব্যবসা/ পরিষেবার কাজ পুরো বন্ধ
$\square$ Other: $\qquad$

If Yes, describe the problem in short for each of them উপার্জনের সমস্যা একটু বিস্তৃত লেখ
$\qquad$

Is your family facing any financial problem তোমার পরিবার কি কোনো রকম আর্থিক অসুবিধার সম্মুখীন হয়েছে＊

No problem কোনো সমস্যা নেই 〇〇〇 $\bigcirc$ Severe Problem অত্যন্ত সমস্যা

If your response is 4 or 5 whether you all had breakfast，lunch and dinner for last SEVEN days গত সাতদিন তুমি নিয়মিত জলখাবার，দুপুরের খাবার，রাতের খাবার পেয়েছো？YesNo

Whether your family collected food items from তোমার পরিবার নিম্নলিখিত কোনকোন জায়গা থেকে খাবার পেয়েছে＊
You may record multiple responses here একাধিক পছন্দ নির্বাচন সম্ভব
（ Government Ration সরকারী রেশনFood items provided by political parties রাজনৈতিক দলের দেওয়া খাবারFood items provided by religious organisation ধর্মীয় সংস্হার দেওয়া খাবারFood items distributed by Non－Government／Non－Political entities বেসরকারি／অরাজনৈতিক সংস্থার বিতরণ করা খাবারBought own food নিজেদের খাবার নিজেরা কিনেছিNone of the above উপরের কোনোটিই নয়Other：

Ownership of House পরিবারের বাসস্থানের প্রকৃতি *

O Owned निজস্ব বাড়িRented (including office accomodation) ভাড়া বাড়িLeased in accomodation (99 years/Lineage) निজ নেওয়া বাড়িOther (like relative's, etc) অন্যান্য (যেমন কোনো আত্মীয়ের)Other:

Type of residence বাসস্থানের ধরণ *

- Katcha (both roof and wall are not concrete) কাঁচা বাড়িSemi Pacca (roof is not concrete) অর্ধ কাঁচাPacca পাকা বাড়ি

Number of Rooms in the house বাড়ির ঘরের সংখ্যা *

0

Number of married couples in the households পরিবারের বিবাহিত দম্পতি কয়জন * For households with no married couple, record '0' here.

1

Main Source of drinking water পরিবারের পাণীয় জলের উৎস কি *

- piped water in dwelling/ premises/ yard নিজেদের বাসস্থানের ভিতর আসা পাইপের জলpiped water outside বাড়ির বাইরে থেকে পাইপের জল নিয়ে আসা হয়tube-well/ borewell/ protected well (inside premises) টিউব ওয়েল / বোর ওয়েল / সংরক্ষিত কূপ বাড়ির ভিতরtube-well/ borewell/ protected well (outside premises) টিউব ওয়েল / বোর ওয়েল / সংরক্ষিত কূপ বাড়ির বাইরেtanker/ truck/ drum (supplied through container) ট্যাঙ্ক/ ট্রাক /ড্রামে সরবরাহ করা জলOther অन्যान्यOther: $\qquad$

Availability of water (জলের প্রাচুর্যতা) *


## Health and Environment

Number of members having any chronic disease দীর্ঘকালীন অসুস্থতায় ভোগা পরিবারের কয়জন সদস্য *

If there is no one with any chronic disease, record '0' here.

0

Type of Chronic Disease কি ধরণের দীর্ঘকালীন অসুস্থতা

Whether medicine was required during lock down লকডাউনের সময় ঔষধ লেগেছে *
() Yes
No

Availability of medicine (for the most important one) ঔষধের প্রাপ্তি

- available in medicine shop and could be purchased as and when required ঔষধের দোকান থেকে প্রয়োজন মত কেনা হয়েছেRequired ordering and made available within a week অর্ডার দিয়ে এক সপ্তাহের ভিতর পেয়েছিRequired ordering and made available at a later date (> week) অর্ডার দিয়ে সঠিক সময়ের থেকে দেরিতে পেয়েছিOrdered through online অনলাইন খরিদ করেছিMedicine was available at home (previously stocked) বাড়িতে আগে থেকেই সঞ্চয় করে রাখা ছিলFaced tremendous problem প্রচন্ড সমস্যা হয়েছেOther:

Whether anyone in family fell ill during lock down (including COVID 19) কেউ কি লকডাউনের সময় পরিবারে অসুস্থ হয়েছেন, COVID 19 সহ *
() YesNo

IfYes, how many members fell ill ? কতজন অসুস্থ হয়েছেন?

If yes, whether doctor was consulted অসুস্থকে ডাক্তার দেখানো হয়েছে
() Yes

No

If yes, whether there was any trouble ডাক্তার দেখাতে কোনো অসুবিধা হয়েছে
() Yes

No

Describe trouble, if yes কিধরণের অসুবিধা
$\qquad$

Whether there was any case of hospitalisation in this period? পরিবারে কারোকে হাসপাতালে দিতে হয়েছে এই সময়YesNo

Whether there was any problem for hospitalisation? Give details হাসপাতালে ভর্তি করায় কোনো সমস্যা হয়েছে ? হলে কিরকম

Reason for not consulting doctor if No doctor was consulted অসুস্থতায় যদি ডাক্তার দেখানো না হয়ে থাকে, তা কেনAilment not considered serious যথেষ্ট গুরুতর কিছু নয়Doctor clinics were closed near by and no vehicles available to go beyond কাছাকাছি ডাক্তারখানা বন্ধ এবং গাড়ি না পাওয়ায় অন্যত্র যাওয়া যায়নিfinancial constraint আর্থিক সমস্যা ছিলOther অন্যান্যOther:

How many times you went outside the premises in an average in a week লকডাউনে গড়ে সপ্তাহে কয়দিন বাড়ির বাইরে গেছ তুমি *

neveronce2 times3-5 timesmore than 5 times

Why did you move outside কেন বাড়ির বাইরে গেছ?
You may record multiple responses here একাধিক পছন্দ নির্বাচন সম্ভব
$\square$ Emergency Medical Reason আপতকালীন স্বাস্থ্য জনিত কারণেPurchasing daily needs প্রাত্যহিক পরিবারের রসদের প্রয়োজনেTuition or Other education related reason টিউশন বা অন্য শিক্ষা সংক্রান্ত কারণেFor some relief Work to poor people দরিদ্র মানুষদের সাহায্য বিতরণের কাজেOther অন্যান্যOther:

## Other household related information

How many times any household member went to buy food items (average) in a week খাবার কেনার/ যোগাড়ের জন্য পরিবারের সদস্যরা গড়ে কয়দিন সপ্তাহে বাড়ি থেকে বেরিয়েছেন ? *
( $)$ neveronce2-5 timesmore than 5 times

How many times any maid/ non-household member entered in your house (average) in a week গড়ে সপ্তাহে কতদিন পরিচারিকা বা অন্য বাইরের লোক বাড়িতে এসেছে ? *
( neveronce2-5more than 5

Is there any positive Corona case in your vicinity (within one km) তোমার বাড়ির এক কিলোমিটারের ভিতর কোনো করোনা আক্রান্ত আছেন? *
( Yes
No

If yes, what extra precaution did you take ? থাকলে, কি অতিরিক্ত সতর্কতা নিয়েছে তোমার পরিবার?

## Education

Whether any online classes were arranged by your Institution during Lock-down period তোমার কলেজ অনলাইন ক্লাসের ব্যবস্থা করেছে ? *
() Yes

O No

Have you participated in the online class? তুমি অনলাইন ক্লাসে যোগ দিয়েছো ? *
() YesNo

What are the devices available with you for taking online classes কি যন্ত্র ব্যবহার করেছো অনলাইন ক্লাসের
(You may record multiple responses here)
$\checkmark$ Mobile Phone (smart phone)TabletLaptopDesktop ComputerOther:

If there were online classes, what are the problems you faced অনলাইন ক্লাসে কি কি সমস্যা হয়েছে তোমার ?
(You may record multiple responses here)
$\checkmark$ Difficulty in operating applications এপ্লিকেশন ব্যবহরে অসুবিধা
$\square$ Weak internet connectivity দুর্বল ইন্টারনেটLack of digital literacy যথেষ্ট ডিজিটাল শিক্ষা নেইProblem in time-management সময় পাওয়ার অসুবিধাLack of self-motivation উৎসাহ পাইনিNo money to buy data card ডেটাকারড কেনার টাকা ছিলনাOther অन्যान्यOther: $\qquad$

How satisfied you are with your online learning অনলাইন ক্লাস তোমার ভালো লেগেছে ?

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Not satisfied আদৌ নয়


- $\bigcirc$Very much satisfied অত্যন্ত ভালো লেগেছে

Are you worried about timely completion of your course গ্র্যাজুয়েশন কোর্স শেষ করার ব্যাপারে তুমি কি চিন্তিত ? *

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not so worried চিন্তিত নই | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |

Very worried অত্যন্ত চিন্তিত

## Mental Health Related

1. What are your current feelings due to lockdown লকডাউন সম্পর্কে তোমার অনুভূতি ঠিক কিরকম?*

- Experiencing an 'extreme level of mood swings' caused by stuck in the house বাড়িতে সর্বক্ষণ থাকার কারণে ভীষণ ঘনঘন মুড পালটায়Feeling very anxious and helpless at home ভীষণ উদ্বিগ্ন আর অসহায় বোধ করিFeeling frustrated and frightened হতাশ আর আতঙ্কিত বোধ হয়Feeling peace and relaxed after a long time দীর্ঘদিন বাদে শান্তি আর আরাম বোধ করছিTook it as a long holiday and happy বেশ লম্বা ছুটি আর আনন্দ ভোগ করছিOther: $\qquad$

2. Your major time spent during lockdown was লক ডাউনের বেশিরভাগ সময়টা কিভাবে কাটাচ্ছো ? *
(-) Alone একাকীWith family works \& quality time with family members বাড়ির কাজে আর সদস্যদের সঙ্গে ভালো সময় কাটিয়েBoth দুরকমইProblems with family members পরিবারের সদস্যদের সঙ্গে সমস্যায়Other অन्যान्य
3. You found it difficult to relax তুমি কি আরামে আর শান্ত থাকতে অসুবিধে বোধ করছ *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Always সর্বদা অসুবিধা$\bigcirc \bigcirc$Never কখনই অসুবিধা নেই
4. You felt agitated তুমি কি রেগে যাও *

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Always সর্বদা রাগ হয়

$\bigcirc \bigcirc$Never রাগ কখনই হয় না

Prepared by
Department of Statistics
All India Institute of Hygiene \& Public Health
110, Chittaranjan Avenue, Kolkata - 700073.


[^0]:    *Percentages may not add up to 100 due to rounding

